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SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELHCENCE ACTIVITIES
（numsuant to s．mes．21，9TH Goneness）
WASHINGTON，D．C． 20510
November 15， 1975

Michael E．Shaheen，Jr．，Esq．


Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U．S．Department of Justice
Washington，D．C． 20530
Dear Mike：
The Select Committee requests immediate delivery of docu－ ments，summaries of which were made available to the Committee staff pursuant to our こezuest oE Septeriner is，
 including relevant communications been the suabayan the finite ouse，relating to the following activities ci the FBI（dates are approximate）：

1．Name check on David Brinkley，2／15／65．
2．Name check on David Brinkley，5／29／65．
3．Name check on John Chancellor，7／19／65．
4．Name check on Peter Armet，7／22／65．
 of the ifietanm in＝wto sent a taiegram to the fix：ie House，6／4／65．

 pol：cv，7！30／65．
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8. Name check on Peter Lisagor, 2/24/66.
9. Name check on Senator Stephen M. Young, 2/25/66.
10. Name check on H. Stuart Hughes, 3/24/66.
11. Name check on George Hamilton, $3 / 31 / 66$.
12. Name check on Ben W. Gilbert, 4/6/66.
13. Name check on Richard B. Stolley, $4 / 6 / 66$.
14. Report of criticism by Senator Wayne Morse of United States policy at a rally in New York City on $4 / 11 / 66$, 4/12/66.
15. Name check on William Beecher, $1 / 4 / 67$.
16. Name check on Barbara Kilstein Garson, 1/9/67.
17. Name check on Joseph Kraft, 1/27/67.
18. Report on Joachim Joesten, 1/30/67.
19. Blind memorandum from Director Hoover to Marvin Watson regarding Senators Clark, Fulbright, Gruening, Hartke, Morse, McGovern, and Pell, 2/10/67.

Sincerely,


Director

- Domestic Intelligence Task Force

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535
Addressee: SENATE SEIECT COMMITTEE
$\square$ LIR $\mathbb{\square}]$ LM $\square$ Memo $\square$ Report dated $11 / 17 / 75$
U. S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Coptito of of Document: Activities (SSC). (SSC letter.



Title: $\qquad$
Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED ALL INFORNMACLAS
HERENISUNY 10124 OO
DATE

| TO: | Intelligence Community Staff | FROM: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ATTN: Central Index | FXI |  |

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available
for review but not transmitted, so note.)

| 嘘 | DOCUMENT | BRIEFING | INTERVIEW | TESTIMONY | OTHER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

| 288 | SSC |
| :--- | :--- |
| . |  |
|  | HSC |

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identifiçation number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

## Memorantut aride enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC Retter 12/15/75
6. CLASSIFICATION OF information (enter $U, C, S, T S$ or Codeword)

## 5

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)
Trfozmation handling
8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Staterials selating to ame checks requeated by the Whate Kouse on 39 individuals.
$62-116395$
ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO GENTRAL COMUNITY INDEX
(4) IN CONAECTION WITHE SEMSTUDY 75



- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

SSC REQUEST 11/15/75
(In furtheranee of SSC request 9/18/75, IV and VI)

February 15, 1965

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
BY REASON

Honorable Marvin Watson
Special Assistant to the Eresident
The 㴻ite House


Washington, D.C.
Dear init. Watson:
This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemi-
nation outside, your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by
your Commi"ne and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized person-
net without the express approval of the $F B I$.
) $\therefore$ NOTE: See M. A. Jones to DeLoach memo dated $12 \sim 15 \sim 65$, captioned "Name Check Request for White House 。"


Mrs. Mildred Stegall of the White House Staff has requested a name check on David Brinkley.

Attached is a letter to Honorable Marvin Watson, Special Assistant to the President, reflecting the results of this check.

## RECOMIMENDATION:

That the attached letter over the Director's signature to Mr. Watson be approved and sent by liaison.


1-Mr. Belmont-Enclosure
1-Mr. Mohr - Enclosure
1-Mir. DeLoach - Enclosure
1-Mr. Gale - Enclosure
1-Mr. Rosen - Enclosure
 123 FER 161015


May 29， 1965
BY LUASSON

## Honorable Marvin Watson

Special Assistant to the Eresident
The White Eouse
Wasing ${ }^{\text {ton，}}$ D．C．
Dear Miv．Watson：
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINIS UNGLASSIFIED DATE 1024 BY $S$ PAALMled

Mrs．Mildred Stegall has requested an FBI name checkron Mr．Alan Davicitrinkley who was born on June 2， 1959 ， in Washington，D．C．

MIr．Exiniley was never the subject of an FEI investigation and our files contain no cerogatory information concerning him．The files of the Identification Division of the PBI contain no arrest record identifiable with idr．Erinkley based on the information furnished． The material forwarded by Mrs．Stegall in connection with this request is being returned herewith．

## Sincerely yours，

$\sigma$
Enclosure
$1-\mathrm{Mr}$ 。Belmont
$1-\mathrm{Mr}$ 。Mohr
$1-\mathrm{Mr}$ 。DeLoach（ sent direct）
1－Mr．Gale
$1-\mathrm{Mr}_{\text {。 }}$ Rosen
NOTE：To be delivered by Liaison by Mr．DeLoach＇s Office．


# July 19, 1965 

BY LIAISON

Honorable Marvin Watson
Special Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D. C.
Dear Mr. Watson:
NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION
Unauthorized Disclosure


This will confirm an oral report given to you on Jugs 17 1965, in response to your request for a name check on John V. chancellor, who was born on July 14, 1927, at Chicago, Illinois.

Mr. Chancellor was never the subject of an FEI investigation and our files contain no derogatory information concerning hin. Information, however, was furnished to the FBI on February 19, 1965, by a confidential informant, who has furnished reliable information in the past, that John Willianf Chancellor. and other National Eroadcasting Company reporters had requested visas for travel to Cuba to film a documentary about agriculture and education. These visas had been denied by the Cuban i/kinistiry of Foreign Relations acting through the Czechoslovakian Embassy in Washington, D. C.

Civil fingerprints were located in the files of the FEI Identification Division which may be identical with those of Mr. Chanel These fingerprints were searched through the criminal files of the Identification Division and no arrest record was located.

1 -Mr. Belmont
1 - ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Mohr
$1-$ Mr. DeLoach (sent direct)
1: - Mr. Gale
1- Mr. Rosen
NOTE: To be delivered by liaison by
Mr. DeLoach's Office. Classified
secret

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN ISYYCLASSIFIED


July 22, 1965
BY HANSON

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Commit ${ }^{+}$e and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnet without the express approval of the FBI.

ENomorable Rotarvin Watson
Special Assistant to the Frestderit
The White kionse
Washington, D. C.
Dear Mr. Watson
The President has requested an FEI name check on Mr. Peter Armet, a New finlander who io a newspaperman in Vienaman.

Mr. Armet has never been the subject of an FBI 0 investigation, and our files sextain no pertinent information concerpaikg him, The Ales of the identification Division of the FBI contain no: purest record identifiable with the subject of this name check inquires based on the information furnished.

1 -Mr. Bohr
1-Mr. DeLoach ( 3 INT)
1-Mr. Gale
1 -Mr. Rosin
RLR:cs (10)



Honorable Marvin watson Special Assistant to the President The White Mouse Washington, D. C.

## Dear Mr. Watson:

: ........... Reference is made to the President's request to me earlier today while I was at the White House with respect to the telegram he received from the artists. Accordingly, attached are memoranda containing the results of an HEY mane checisor Hannah Arendt and twenty other individuals mentioned in that telegram.

When this letter of transmittal is detached from its enclosures bearing a security classification, this letter can be declassified.

ENCLOSURE $3:{ }^{-1}$ for for Enclosures (18) nod bivizuridition
-

Tolson
Belmont
Bohr
DaLoach
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Rose
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter 4 1 -Mr. Belmont - Enclosures (18) 1 -Mr. Gale - Enclosures (18) 1 -Mr. Mohr - Enclosures ( 土 $_{2}^{2}$ ) 1 - Mr. Rose - Enclosures (18) 1 - Mr. DeLoach - Enclossares (18) - (sent direct)
 Candy


NOTE: To be delivered by liaison by Mr. DeLoachs Office.


## WA079 PD

$$
\text { KM NEUYORK NY } 3 \text { 1225P EDT }
$$

## THE PRESIDENT

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

THE WHITE HOUSE
DEAR PRESIDENT JOHNSON: WE WHO HAVE CONSIDERED OURSELVES

- FRIENDS OF THE ADMINISTRATION SUPPORT ROBERT LOWELL IN HIS DECISION NOT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WHITE HOUSE FESTIVAL OF THE ARTS ON JUNE LATH. THOUGH HE HAS SPOKEN ONLY FOR HIMSELF, WE WOULD LIKE YOU TO KNOW THAT OTHERS OF US SHARE HIS DISMAY at recent american foreign policy decisions. we hope that

PEOPLE IN THIS AND IN OTHER COUNTRIES WILL NOT CONCLUDE THAT A WHITE HOUSE ARTS PROGRAM TESTIFIES TO APPROVAL OF ADMINISTRATION POLICY BY THE MEMBERS OF THE ARTISTIC COMMUNITY. TO THE CONTRARY, AS THE WEEKS PASS SOME OF US HAVE BECOME MORE AND MORE ALARMED BY A STANCE IN FOREIGN AFFAIRS WHICH SEEMS INCREASINGLY - BELLIGERENT AND MILITARISTIC〔 HANNAH ARENDT (SIANLEY KUNITZ $)$ LOUIS SIMPSON JOHN BERRYMAN - 161 - DUIGHI MPCDONLD

D D:SNODGRASS
ALAN. DUGAN MARY MCCARTHY
GILLIAM STYRON JULES FIFER ERNA MALAMUD: PETER TAYLOR

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED


Tuly 30, 1965

## BY IIAISON

Eonorable Hiarvin Watson Special Assistant to the President The Thite Elouse Washington, D. C. O/VI. Check

SEE REYERSE SIDE FDR ADD. DISSEEMNATIOR.

Dear $\mathbf{H} \mathrm{F}$. Watson:
Reference is maue to the name check recuest from nirs. stegail relative to the 31 inciviauais who sent telegrans to the 4 resiunt on uily 28 in criticizing the United States' poilicy in Vietnam.

Attached are seevrate memorancia relative to the following incivicuals inchueu in this name checs request:

> Mrr. and irrs. Eernará Albert, Alexaniria, Virzinia Howard Broisky, Chicaso, illinois Joraan A. Good, San Erancisco, California Dr. and Mrs. Joseph Eofizman, lyorristown, New Jersey Reverend Farley wheelwrifht, Fire Island, New Yoris

FEI files contain no pertinent cierogatory iniormation relative to the following incivituals who were incluaed in this name check remuest. Also, the illez of the Ifentitication Division of the FSI cuatain no arrest ceta icamitiable with them based
O upon the bacsigrounc information sumitteri in comection with

## Honorable Marvin Watson

Mirian Broisky, Chicago, Illinois Mrs. Louic, Imerman, Chicago, Illinois Mr. and, Wirs. John wullemwider, Salem, Oregon
Bruce 学refeld, Fushing, New York

Eabriè Wuck, Eatcinore, Maryland
Arlene zoss, Elmhurst, New York
Mirs. Eeborah, werman and iurs. Busan Cottified, Chicago, Minnois
Joant تussman, Nom York, New York
Mirs. Futh iraun, Fow York, New York
S. IF."illiams, Fichenond, Virginia
M. V. Young, New Yort, New York

There are also enclosed the sinteen telegrams on which this nane checis was based.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosures (21)

# TREAS AS 7TEMOW 

 $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$

To: $\square$ THE PRESIDENT

## HIED DISSEMHMTION

$\square$ SECRETARY OF STATE
NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Crinfintal Sanctions
$\square$ DIRECTOR, DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
$\qquad$ AND NATIONAL INDICATIONS CENTERDEPARTMENT OF THE ARMYDEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WHITE HOUSE SITUATION ROOM, ATT.: SECRET SERVICE (RID) (For disseminating classified data to Secret Service)

SECRET SERVICE (BID) BY PLAINTEXT TELETYPE
$\square$ ATTORNEY GENERAL (BY MESSENGER)
(1) WHITE WDISE SIIUATION PUON, Attention: Me Bromley

From: DIRECTOR, FBI
Classification: SECTEET-M, foreign fisconination
Subject: $\mathcal{A A F O N S}$ OF UIETNAM
(Text of message begins on next page.)


# VIA TELTYPE NOV N1958 ENCIPHERED 

1116 AM 11-9-68 HWL
PRIORITY
TO: WHITE HOUSE SITUATION ROOM DOU
ATT.: MR. BROMLEY SMITH
FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI
Tech sue on South Vietruansoe Embassy
Declass for louth $10 / 79 / 68-11 / 29 / 68$ due to Diesel. Wy the Cloned Cominites.


SEECRR-ET-NO FOREIGN DISSEMINATION

EMBASSY OF VIETNAM

ON NOVEMBER NINE, INSTANT, A CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE, WHO HAS FURNISHED RELIABLE INFORMATION IN THE PAST, ADVISED AS FOLLOWS:

SENATOR EVERETT DIRKSEN OF ILLINOIS CONTACTED VIETNAMESE AMBASSADOR GUI DIEM, INSTANT DATE, ADVISING DIEM THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE DIEM AT TWELVE O CLOCK (NOON) AT THE VIETNAMESE EMBASSY, WASHINGTON, D.C., CONCERNING A VERY URGENT MATTER. DIEM ADVISED DIRKSEN THAT HE WILL BE AT EMBASSY TO SEE HIM. GP -1

END AMD


# SECRET 

February 24, 1966

Honorable Marvin Watson WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE Special Assistant to the President
Honorable Marvin
Special Assistant
The White House
Washington, D. C
Honorable Marvin
Special Assistant to
The White House
Washington, D. C.
Dear Mr. Watson:


O Mrs. Mildred Stegall has requested a name check regarding Mr. Peter Lisasor, Chief of the Washington Bureau of the "Chicago Daily News.

A check of the central files of the FBI disclosed that Mr. Lisagor was born on August 5, 1915, at Keystone, West Virginia. He graduated from the University of Michigan in 1933 and joined the staff of the "Chicago Daily News as a reporter. He enlisted in the U. S. Army in June, 1942, and was discharged in November, 1945. During his military service he served as Editor of "Stars and Stripes." Hie rejoined the staff of the "Chicago Daily News" after his discharge from the Army in 1945. Mr. Lisagor was assigned to the "Chicago Daily News" Washington Bureau in the mid-1950's and was named Chief of this Bureau in January, 1959. Mr. Lisagor resides at 1528 North Ivanhoe Street, Arlington, Virginia.

FBI files reflect that Mr. Lisagor has been in frequent contact in Washington with Soviet and satellite officials in connection with his duties as a correspondent. Oi particular interest have been his contacts with Sergei F . Striganov, former Soviet Counselor who has been identified as an intelligence officer, and with Mirko Kalezik, Yugoslav Embassy official

Mr. Lisagor was interviewed in 1955 concerning his contact
 ceternaze if ne could furilisa pertinent information relating to the activities of
 This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemi-
this individual, who was known to have arr intelligence assignment in titis country, If. Lisagor was most cooperative on this occasion and expressed a willingness to mormsia any information that mag ht come to his attention. It appears wat the numerous contacts Mr. Lisagor has had with Soviet and satellite officials during his assignment in Washington have been concerned with his gomploymen correspondent.
 lo. Room NOTE/ PG e
$\qquad$ man room i. Teletype ont

Honorable Marvin Watson

In July, 1958, a Government official who had frequent contact with correspondents in Washington characterized Mr. Lisagor as a good reporter kut lacking in discretion because of his reputation for "taiking too much, " especially at the National Press Club.

In this regard, the President advised me on June 4, 1965; of his concern about leaks which had occurred in the Administration and referred specifically to information leaked to the "Washington Post" by a State Department official that the FBI was sending men to the Dominican Republic.

The President also mentioned that he was disturbed about an article which had appeared in the June 3, 1905, issue of the "Chicago Daily .News" concerming Russian bombers reportedly being stationed in Hanoi. This article, written by MIr. Lisagor, contained information which was lnown only to the Secretaries of State and Defense and the Joint Chiefs of Staff,

A discreet inquiry into this matter disclosed that apparently Mr. Lisagor obtained this information from the late Mfarguerite İiggins, a journalist who was married to William E. Filll, a retired Lieutenant General of the Air Force. General Hall has close contacts with members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and it was presumed that he had obtained this information and furnished it to his wife. She did not use the information herself, apparently to preciude attention being drawn to her, but gave it instead to Mr. Lisagor, who reported it in the "Chicago Daily News."

The fingerprint files of the Identification Division of the FBI contain no arrest data identifiable with Mr. Lisagor based upon background information submitted in connection with this name check request.

Sincerely yours,

Honorable Marvin Watson Special Assistant to the presiducit The White louse Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Watson:
In response to your request of Assistant to the Director C. D. Deloach of this Bureau, there is enclosed a memorandum which sets out information regarding Senator Stephen $\mathrm{H}_{\text {. Young. }}$

Sincerely yours,

## Enclosure

EBR:jas (9)
, $\qquad$ $\infty$
NOTE: See memorandum Baumgardner to Sullivan, 2/25/66, captioned "Senator Stephen My" Young, (Democrat - Ohio)" EBR: jas.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { REC } 49-3=5 \\
8 \text { MAR } 41906
\end{array}
$$

1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Mr. Wick
1 -Mr. Sullivan
1 - Mr. Baumgardner

1 - Mr. Reddy

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINISUNCLASSIFIED DATE 1024102 BYE

Honorable Marvin Watson
Special Assistant to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

BY LIAISON
1-Mr. DeLoach
I - Mr. Sullivan
1 - Mr. Wick
1 - Mr. Bland
1 - Liaison
1 - Mr. Mack
1 - Mr. Rushing

Dear Mr. Watson:
In connection with an article appearing in "The New York Times," March 23, 1966, headlined "Miss Knight Given Rebuke for Action Sought by FBI" and an article appearing in "The Washington Post," March 24, 1966, headlined "Spying on U. S. Travelers by Embassies Assailed," both dealing in part with the Department of State's action in alerting its embassies in Paris a and Moscow regarding future travel abroad of H. Stuart-xughes, a professor of history at Harvard University, I thought you would be interested in this Bureau's procedure in handing such matters.

Whenever it is determined that an individual of security interest to this Bureau intends to travel abroad or is traveling abroad, available information regarding the individual is furnished to the Department of State because of its interest in individuals whose activities abroad may be inimical to the best interest of the United States. Also in view or our Interest in the security field, we advise the Department of State that we would appreciate any pertinent information it may receive regarding the

TDR:fhd (12) MAR


Honorable Marvin Watson
person while abroad. In cases of this nature, we neither request a survelilance nor investigation of the activities of such a person. The refexral of such matters to embassies abroad is a decision to be made entirely by the Department of State.

In the case of H . Stuart Hughes we did advise the Department of State on February 9, 1966, of proposed future travel abroad on his part. At that time, we furnished that Department available information concerning him contained in our files and indicated that we would appreciate any pertinent information it might receive regarding thghes while he wes abroad.

I am greatly concerned that the State Department has made public the fact that the FBI has a security interest in Hughes. We handle our security matters in a confidential manner and in this instance I am vigorously protesting to the Department of State its handing of this case.

I am enclosing for your information a memorandum dated March 24, 1966, setting forth available information contained in the files of this Bureau regarding H. Stuart Highes.

The facts in this matter have been brought to the attention of the Attorney General.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosure


$$
62-110654-1 \%
$$

March 31, 1966

BY LIAISON

Honorable Marvin Watson
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
 Special Assistant to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Watson:

为

## Sincerely yours,

$\operatorname{cin}_{\text {Enclosure }}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=\text { Mr. Tolson . (sent with cover memo) } \\
& 1-\mathrm{Mr} \text {. DeLoach " " " " }
\end{aligned}
$$

NOTE: See Wick to DeLoach memo dated 3/30/66 re "GEORGE HAMILTON, MOVIE ACTOR, WHITE HOUSE MATTER"


Honorable Marvin Watson Special Assistant to the President The White House Washington，D．C．

Dear Mir．Watson：
April 6， 1966
BY LIAISON

Airs．Mildred Stegall has requested a name check on Mr．Ben We．Gilbert，who is Deputy Managing Editor of The Washington Post．＂

Mr．Gilbert has never been the subject of an FBI inquiry；however，FBI files contain the following information concerning him．AF．Gilbert voluntarily appeared at PBI Headquarters on April 3，1953，and related the following infor－ mation concerning his background．

Mr．Gilbert stated that he was born Ben Werthoimer Goldberg，but in 1940 at Columbia，Missouri，he legally changed his name to Den William Gilbert．However，previous to legally changing his name and while a student at City College of New York， he signed a Young Communist League（YCL）membership card as Bert Gilbert and attended weekly meetings of the Far Rockaway Branch of the YCL curing the Fall and Winter of 1936－37．He stated that the discussions at these meetings concerned public issues，pamphlets，and the 政arxist approach to contemporary events．He said that the program was primarily the＂Popular Front＂program of that day which appealed to him as it represented a determined fight against the rise of fascism．Air．Gilbert said that the crowd with which he grew up and attended school at City College during the depression days from 1933 to 1937 was 35 per cent Jewish，living in constant fear of the Naziplefinin Germany， and never expecting to graduate from college into a job．He
$1-\mathrm{Mr}$ ．DeLoach（sent direct）
1－Mr．Gale
$1 \% \mathrm{Mr}$ ．Rose


NOTE：Per request of Mrs．Mildred Stegall，White House Staff．
N

Fonorable Marvin Watson

recalled that after a few months, in the Winter of 1937, he began to question the "Dopular Front" program of the YCL and the highhanded methods of those in charge of the organization. He, therefore, severed his comections with the YCL. He stated that after he made this break he then confessed his former membership to his father, who was strongly anticommunist, and that he has told at least two dozen persons about his membership in the YCL. Mir. Gilbert stated that he has never sought to conceal his membership, even though he is ashamed of it, reailzing that it was a mistalse on his part.

Mr. Gilbert advised that during this period at the City College of New York he had no other organizational affiliation other than in the American Student Union. It is noted that the American Student Union has been cited as a communist front by the Special Committee on Ün-American Activities.

Mr. Gilbert recalled that while at the City College of New Yorl he had signed several petitions, but the only one he could remember specifically was a petition in behalf of Morris Schappes, the New York school teacher and Communist Party member who was convicted of perjury in New York City.

Mr. Cilbert advised that since he left the City College of New York in 1937, he has had no connection with any communist organization and that he has, in fact, developed a record of anticomntunist activities. He specifically denied that he has ever been at any time a member of the Communist Party. (100-350813-17)

FBI files contain no additional pertinent information concerning Mr. Gilbert.

The fingerprint files of the FBI Identification Division contain no arrest data identifiable with Mr. Gilbert based upon the background information submitted in connection with this name check request.

Sincerely yours,

BY LIAISON

Honorable Marvin Watson Special Assistant to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Watson:


Mrs. Mildred Stegall has requested a name check on Richard 5 . Stolley, who is the Washington Bureau Chief of "Life" Magazine, i120 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D. C.

The central files of the FBI contain no derogatory information identifiable with Mr. Stolley. Also, the fingerprint files of the Identification Division of the FBI contain no arrest data identifiable with him based upon the background information submitted in connection with this name check request.

Sincerely yours,

1 -Mr. DeLoach (sent direct)
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. Rosen


vel without the express approval your Comnitice and the content ma This document is prepared in response This document is prepared in


# Memorandum 

DATE： $4 / 12 / 66$

W．C．Sullivan

FROM
F．J．Baumgardner
F．J．Baumgardner）
TO

$$
5-1-1
$$

> 1 - DeLoach
> 1 - Wick
> 1 - Sullivan INTERVENTION IN VIETNAM INFORMATION CONCERNING ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED （INTERNAL SECURITY）

Due to the interest of the President in protests concerning United States policy toward Vietnam，we have prepared a summary，copy attached for ready reference，setting out current and planned future activity in this matter．． High lights of the summary are as follows：

The Foreign Policy Council of New York Democrats， New York City，sponsored a rally at New York City on 4／11／66 which was attended by 5,000 to 6,000 people．The rally was chaired by a former Communist Party member or Senator Wayne Morse was the principal speaker．He claimed that Congress had been told by the Administration that we cannot win a War with China and that 300,000 troops are needed in Vietnam． He contended that Congress was also advised that three million troops will be needed within six months．

On $4 / 11 / 66$ ，seven individuals picketed the Old Post Office Building，Atlanta，Georgia，as a protest against the war in Vietnam．

Demonstrations mill be held at New York City on 4／16／66 and at Portsmouth，New Hampshire，on 4／23／66．

RECOMMENDATION：
That the enclosed memorandum to the Honorable Marvin Watson，Special Assistant to the President；the Vice President；Secretary of State；Secretary of Defense；Director of the Central Intelligence Agency；the Attorney General； and the Honorable Thomas C．Mann，Department of State，be transmitted．by the attached routing slips．

Enc．Ra－ext 105－138315
BAW：pdb（7）

Honorable Marvin Watson Special Assistant to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Watson:

Reference is made to my letter of December 30, 1966, setting forth results of FBI investigation concerning the possible leak of information in the article by Willis i beecher which appeared in


There is attached a memorandum setting forth results of interviews with four persons who have previously been unavailable because of their absence from Washington, D. C. We had also planned to interview Deputy Secretary of Defense Cyrus Roberts Vance and Under Secretary of the Army David E. MicGiffert, as well as Fred S. Hoffman, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Department of Defense; however, inf. Vance and in ir. learned in r. Hoffman is ill with hepatitis. In view of this and since investigation strongly indicates that the information in Beecher's article was the result of educated speculation, we do not plan to interview these individuals: 113. REC- 3
This completes our inquiry in the absence of additional information which would warrant further investigation.

Enclosure

## Sincerely yours,

NOTE: See memo Wick to DeLoach, $1 / 3 / 67$, captioned "William Beecher Article in 'The New York Times' 12/27/66, Special Inquiry."


# January 9, 1957 

BY LIAISON

Honorable Marvin Watson
Special Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D, C.
Dear Mr. Watson:


The following is being brought to your attention as of possible interest to the President concerning Barbara Kilstein Carson, the authoress of the recently published pamphlet entitled "Macbird," which is a ludicrous portrayal of the President and other United States Government offscials through the casting of them in the principal roles of "Macbeth."

It has been reported that 20,000 copies of the pamphlet have been printed and additional printings are contemplated.

Barbara Kilstein Carson has been the subject of a security investigation by this Bureau because of her activity in the Young Socialist Alliance and the Fair Play for Cuba Committee, details of which are set forth D

The above information is being furnished to the Acting Attorney General ${ }^{1}$
$100-43769 \mathrm{~F}^{2}$
sified-material this letter Upon removal of classified -material this letter becomes unclassified.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE.

Honorable Narvin Watson Special Assistant to the President The White House Washington, D. C.

Dear Mir. Watson:
Reference is made to the zame chectrequest from Mrs. Mildred Stegall regarding Josepraíxaft/' a nationally syndicated columnist.

Although no investigation has been conducted by this Bureau concerning Iraft, our files reveal that a contidential source abroad has advised that Kroft, as a journalist for the "Tew Yorik Times" and a correspondent for the "Saturday Evening Post, "lived with the rebels in Alperia during August-September, 1957. The "Saturday 写vening Post" published an article based on his stay there, and portions thereoí were reprinted by various papers. The Algerian Front of National Liberation (FLiN) furnished the elements for this story and claimed to be satisfied with it.

The same source stated that Krast would be in a position to furnish a great deal of information concerning the Aigerian rebels. The source indicated that Graft appeared to have been in contact with groups whose organization and methods were similar in all respects to those used by clandesting communist parties and that xrait did not appear always to have distinguished the part played by communism in the Algerian Nationalist iriovement. The source believed that it is possible that kraft was an agent, either consciously or unconscicusiy, of the FLN.
 Gronp
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Honorable Marvin Watson

In some of Kraft's columns, he has been critical of the FBI and me. There was no basis in fact for the statenents made by traft with respect to this criticism and many of his statements were found to be erroneous. It was further determined that some of Kraft's statements were based on false accusations originally made by other long-time critics of the FBi. Eraft was also author of the book, "Proinles in Power," published in 1938 and last reprinted in 1906, wherein he was again critical of my administration of the FBI. However, this criticism appeared to be a repetition of criticism made about the FEI and me by other long-time critics of the FEI.

In connection with the aforementioned book, a listing of $\operatorname{ISraft}$ 's other credits indicated that he was a special writer on the campaign plane in 1960 for John F. Kennedy.

In March, 1935, a reliable source advised that Eraft was in contact with the Algerian Ambassador to the United States. During a discussion about Vietnam, Eraft is alleged to have stated he could not understand how President Johnson was ever converted to his present policy in Vietnam, especially in view of the fact that IKraft considered President Johnson a "scared man" on things of that nature.

FBI files contain no additioal pertinent information which pertains to Mr. Kraft.

The fingerprint flles of the Identification Division of the FBI contain no arrest data identifiable with Mir. Kraft based upon background iniormation submitted in connection with this name checis request.

A copy of this communication has not been sent to the Acting Attorney General.

## Sincerely yours,

NOTE: This communication is classified "Confidential" to preclude disclosure of our interests in official representatives of a foreign government.] For the most part, Kraft's criticism of the FBI, (C) mentioned above, deals with the type of criticism first voiced by such individuals as Fred J. Cook and former Agents Turner and Livine. The criticism also related to the $\mathrm{FBr}^{9}$ s alleged shortcomings in the civil rights ${ }^{\circ}$ CONTIDENTLAL

THE WHITE HG JE
Washington

MEMORANDUM
DATE: January 24, 1967

TO : Mr. Cartha D. DeLoach, FBI
FROM : Mildred Stegall
SUBJECT: FBI Investigation
ALL INFORMATION CONTANED HERENIS NC ASSIFIED

Subject's Name Joseph Kraft
Date of Birth $\qquad$ Place of Birth $\qquad$
Present Address Publishers Newspaper Syndicate MARVIN WATSON has requested:
$\square$ Copy of Previous Report
XX Name Check
$\square$ Full Field Investigation

The person named above is being considered for:
$\square$ White House staff position


ATTACHMENTS:
$\square$ SF 86 (in duplicate)
$\square$ SF 87, Fingerprint Card
$\square$ Biography
REMARKS:

REPORT SHOULD BE DELIVERED BY FBI TO: MILDRED STEGALL

No specific information is available indicating that criticism by United States Senators, on February 6, 1967 , concerning the bombing of North Vietnam, Pollows a pattern prompted by my particular source. A summary of iniormation concerning such criticisms is set forth below:

United States Senator Joseph S. Clark - Pennsylvania
Senator Clark vas supported by the Conmunist party of Pennsylvania during his campaign for the United States Senated in 1955 although there is no indication that Senator Clarls eithorio sought or acquiesced in this support. As early as 1963, Senat筑和 Clark spoire before a meeting of tomen strike for Peace, a paciefise group, which has been exiremely active in organizing demonstra tions against United States policy toward Vietnam.

In December, 1966, Senator Clark was quoted in the press as stating that the three major threats to America are military-industrial complex, the Federal Bureau of Investigation
yol and the Central Intelligence Agency.
United States Senator J. ${ }^{\text {J. Fulbrimht - Arkansas }}$
Senator Wulbright is Charman of the Senate Forejgn Relations Comittee. He is considered the leading critic of United States policy toward Vietnam. Senator Fulbright was previously highly critical of Undted States action in the Dominican Hepublic. He and members of his stafi are frequently in contact with members of the Soviet Embassy.
United States Senator Frnest Gruening - Alasia
Senator Gruening was mator of Mhe IVation in io33-1 "The Nation" has been highiy critical of United States policy toward Vietnam. Senator Gruening and his staff have been con- 'ö tacted by members of various Iron Curtain embassies at fashingtog D. C. He was one of the principal speakers at a dinner sponsoreq by the Energency Civil Liberties Committee on December 10, 1965. This group has been cited as a communist front by the compintoe on Un-American Activities, United States House oi yoniomentatives.


suppart from the Communist Party and which has been described by Gus Hall, General Secretaxy of the Communist Paxty, USA, as a group which the Communist Party has "going for us."

In conjunction with United States Senator Fayne L. Morse, Senaror Gruening had a brief printed in the "Congsessional Record." This brief, which was drawn up by a group of lawyers
 has no legal busis to support its actions in vietnam.

United States Senator R. Vance Hartle - Indiana
Jenator hartae, who bas criticized United states policy tomard Vietnam, sccepted an invitation to attend a reception at the PoIlsh Embassy, Kashington, D.C., on July $22,1966$. This reception was held to commemorate the National Liberation Day of the Polish People's Republic.

United States Senator George S. McGovern - South Dakota
jenator hifovern spoire on hay ly, lbis, at a rally in Chicago, Illinois, sponsored by the Chicago Committee fior a Sane Nuclear Policy, a pacifist group. Senator HeGovern stated that the United States mas malsing too much of the communist take-over oi Cuba.

United States Senator wayne L. Morse - Oregon
Senator borse has been associated, either as a spealrer or as a sponsor, with various organizations which have been described as subversive by the Attorney General or by the Comaittee on Un-American Activities, United Staies House of Representatives. In 1953 , Senator Morse's sister was reported to have strated that she had been a member of the Commuist Party. In Hay, 1961, "The Vorker," an east coast communist newspaper, carried an open letter to Senator horse from Victor perio, a commaist economist and writer. Perlo stated in this letter that Senator Mozse was periorming a public seryice in trying to "dig out the roots of our Government's tragic policy toward Cuba."

Both Senator Horse and his staft have been contacted
Tolson
DeLoach
$\qquad$ Mohr $\qquad$ Whek $\qquad$ Casper $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Canrad $\qquad$
Filt $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Rosen $\qquad$
Sullivan $\qquad$
Frwi $\qquad$
$\because \times \mathrm{O}$
Telco Koum
Hoimes $\qquad$ on numexous occasions by members of the Soviet Embassy. Information was received in harch of 1966 concerning a reported meeting between Senator $\begin{gathered}\text { forse } \\ \text { and Clu } \\ \text { Ting-min, a Chinese alien who }\end{gathered}$ appeared to be acting on behalis of General li psung-jen in promoting a settlement between the United States and Communist China, as vell as advocating the unification of China. General Lot Tsung-jen iss a former Chinese nationalist official who defected to Commuist China in July, 1965.
a

Senator Morse has, on many occasions, publicly criticized United states policy toward Vietnam. He frequently speaks before groups throughout the United States on this subject. He has been reported as intentionally entering into controversial axeas so that his services as a speaker, for Which he receives a fee, will be in demand.

United States Senator Claiborne Pell - Rhode Island
Senator pell is a former employee or cire United states Department of State. During Morld Har If he was active in adding individuals who had been confined to concentration camps in Europe. He was reportedly arrested on several occasions while working in commuist countries. Senator Pell has attended receptions at the Soviet Embassy and has been contacted by members of that Embassy.

Three Soviet officials are extremely active in contacting members of Congress or members of their staifis. Tinese Soviet officials are Anatoli G. Hyshkov, a suspected Soviet intexligence officer, and Viktor V. Kopytin and Vitali v. Petrusenko, both of thom are known Soviet intelligence oificers. Kyshkov, the first Secretary of the Soviet Embassy, has been active in handling political matters and since January 1 , 1965, has made numerous contacts with Congressional personnel, including Senators Claris, Fulbright, Hartire, hacGovern, and Morse.

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz (1 - J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
November 17, 1975
1 - Mr. H. N. Basset
1 -Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 -Mr. J. G. Deegan
1 -Mr. R. D. Shea
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
 HERENISUYCLASSIFIED
DATE COAL BY O
Reference is made to SSC letter dated October 17, 1975, requesting documents pertaining to the FBT's Special Squad at the Atlantic City, 1964, Democratic National Convention.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the Committee is the original of a memorandum in response to Item one of the aforementioned request.

Also enclosed for your records is a copy of the memorandum which is being delivered to you with the materials which are being delivered to the SSC.

Enclosures - 2
62-116395

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { - The Deputy Attorney General } \\
& \text { Attention: } \text { Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. } \\
& \text { Special Counsel for } \\
& \text { Intelligence Coordination }
\end{aligned}
$$



RDS:klm
(11)

Assoc. Dir. Dep. AD Adm. Dep. AD inv. Asst. Dir.: Admin. Comp. Syst. - Affairs Files \& Com. Gen. Inv. Ident. inspection ___ lIntel. Laboratory _Plan. \& Evil. Spec. Inv. Training Legal Coun. Telephone Rm. -


The Attorney General

NOTE:
The material being delivered to the Attorney General and the SSC that contains comments of PRI personnel involved in the coverage of the 1964, Democratic National Convention was obtained by SA Robert D. Shea through Mr. J. Allison Conley, Deputy Assistant Director of the Inspection Division. Reference is made to paragraph number (8), Part I, Item 7c, in the 10/28/75 FBI memorandum. The response to this particular Item had been previously partially furnished to SSC by our memorandum of 10/28/75. Paragraph number (8) is as follows: "All 302's and any other material or reports reflecting the FBI's inquiry into this matter." Exact copies of the material now being furnished are maintained in the Office of the Senstudy ' 75 Project. Arrangements have been made for a representative of the Legal Counsel Division to deliver the attached memorandum as well as the material being provided to the SSC. No response was made to Item two of this request in view of Departmental instructions set forth in their letter of 10/21/75. No response was made to Item three as all this material has previously been furnished to the SSC.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { - Mr. J. A. Mintz } \\
& \text { (1- J. B. Hotis) } \\
& 1 \text { - Mr. W. R. Wannall } \\
& 1 \text { - Mr. H. M. Bassett } \\
& 1 \text { - Mr. W. }
\end{aligned}
$$



Reference is made to SSC Ietter daced October 17, 1975, requesting documents pertaining to the BBI's Special Squad at the Atiantic City, 1964: Demacratic National Convention

Item one of the aforenentioned request concerns SSC's interest in "A11 memoranda and interviens, whether in 302 foris or othervise, of personnel comprising the Special Squad, said intervieus done in connection tith the Inspection Division's inquiry of tha FaI's role at the 1964 bic."

The purpose of this memorancun is to effect delivery to the SSC of information and material relating to Item one.

In respect to Item one, the SSC's attention is invited to FBI memorandum of October 23, 1975, previously fumished. This menorandum contained a response to paragraph number (8), Bart I, Item 7c, of SSC letter dated August 27, 1975, to the Bepartment of Justice, which attached an August 27, 1975, supplement to an SSC request of August 26, 1975. Included among
$\qquad$ with the abovementioned memorandum, was a menorandum from
 Files \& Com- - to Associate thirector 1. P. Callahan, dated January 23, 1975, Gen. Inv. captioned "Special Squad at Democratic National Convention, Atlantic City, New Jersey, August 22, 1964 through August 28, 1964."

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Plon. Evol-Thompson orally furnished and reduced to writing regarding the } \\
& \text { Spoc INv. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Addressee: SENETE SELECI COMMITTEE
$\square$ LiR $\square$ LM $\square$ Memo $\square$ Report dated $11 / 17 / 75$
USS. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
Caption of Document:
SSC letter 10/17/75


Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINISUYCLASSIFIED


$$
62+103=1132
$$



- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.


SSC REQUEST OF 10/17/75
RESPONSE TO ITEM ONE

RETAIN
(16)

$$
62-11319 / / 32
$$

KW $55085 \quad \overline{\text { DOCId }} \mathbf{3 2 9 8 9 5 4 2}$
Page 43


ALLINFORMATIONCONTAINED

Following are the details of my participation in the coverage of the 1964 Democratic National Convention at Atlantic City, New Jersey.

\author{

1. Assistant Director Cartha D. DeLoach
}
2. My instructions from Mr. Deloach were to insure complete coverage of organizations of a militant nature which were present in Atlantic City during the period of the Convention and to insure that advance knowledge was obtained regarding any acts or intended acts of violence which might be disruptive at the Convention.
3. In Atlantic City a special phone number was set up whereby sources reporting on the various organizations could call to report information they had received concerning intended demonstrations or other activities of a violent nature. These various sources were to call and ask for "Elmer" when reporting their information.
4. All information received by me was taken in long-hand notes and given to SAs Donald Hanning or Hobson Adcock who handled the paper work required.
5. The information obtained was furnished as set out above; however, it is my impression that memoranda or communications dictated by SA Hanning or Adcock were submitted daily to FBI Headquarters.
6. On the Friday before the week of the Convention I attended a meeting in Mr. DeLoach's office attended by various individuals including SAs Hanning, Adcock, Harold P. Linebaugh, Milton Jones and others at which time it was specifically stated by Mr. DeLoach that the sole purpose of the assignment was to be aware of and on top of any violent-type demonstrations by various organizations gathering in Atlantic City, New Jersey.
[^0]Elmer Lee Todd to Mr. Bassett
7. I received no information indicating that the Bureau's services were being utilized for political reasons. I do not recall receiving any such information of this nature nor am I aware of anyone else in attendance solicitating or obtaining any purely political type information. I do recall that each evening Mr. DeLoach talked by phone with Director Hoover and was occasionally in telephonic contact with someone, whose identity I do not recall, assigned to the White House delegation in Atlantic City. To the best of my recollection the only information discussed by Mr. Deloach was information received regarding demonstrations, etc.
8. It was perfectly clear in my mind at that time and is still perfectly clear that the only reason for my presence in Atlantic City was for the purpose of handling and learning of those matters falling within the Bureau's jurisdiction.
9. I do not recall any specific instructions being given regarding confidentiality of my assignment.
10. This assignment has not been discussed by me with anyone outside the Bureau. On Wednesday, $1 / 22 / 75$, I received a telephone call from an individual who identified himself as Ronald Kessler of the Washington Post who stated that he understood that I was among a group of Agents in Atlantic City with Mr. DeLoach in 1964 during the Democratic National Convention. He further stated he understood that I was in charge of handling informants, including Julius Hobson, I referred Mr. Kessler to the External Affairs Division and advised him that I was in no position to comment on his inquiry.
11. I had no specific knowledge while in Atlantic City during the period ef
12. I have read the article in the Washington Post today by Ronald Kessler. While in Atlantic City all information received came through me by a special telephone, including intelligence information received by Agents of the Newark Office and the Atlantic City Resident Agency. Messrs. DeLoach, Hanning, Adcock, Landratat and I were situated in one room from 11:00 am each morning until approximately 1:30 or 2:00 am that night. During that time I do not recall hearing any discussions either in the room or on the telephone by any of the individuals including Mr. De Loach which was of a political nature.

To: Mr. Bassett
From: John C. Cox


In connection with questions set forth in teletype dated 1/26/75, the following responses are set forth:

1. To the best of my recollection, I was instructed to report to the Atlantic City, New Jersey, Resident Agency, by my squad supervisor, Mr. William Holloway, or the Special Agent in Charge of the Newark Division, Mr. Ralph W. Bachman.
.2. I was under the impression that I was being sent to the Atlantic City Resident Agency to augment the U. S. Secret Service detail.
2. My assignment consisted of handling cases and leads that had previously been assigned to Special Agents regularly detailed to the Atlantic City Resident Agency.
3. As I recall, Mr. Cartha DeLoach, former Assistant Director, was in the Resident Agency but my assignments regarding cases and the handling of leads were obtained from one or more of the Special Agents regularly assigned to the Atlantic City Resident Agency.
4. Information I received as the result of investigations conducted was recorded in written form. This is because most of my work load consisted of Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle and Deserter cases.
5. As I can recall, I was told by one of the Agents assigned to the Resident Agency at Atlantic City that I would be handling some of the criminal work normally assigned to regular employees of the Resident Agency in order that they would be relieved for other duties.
6. No.
7. All the work assigned to me concerned criminal violations which I had worked in the past in my position as Special Agent of the FBI.

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemipation outtsing, your Committee. Its use is limited to offiniol proceedings" "by your Comin'" 'and the cortont may not be disclescl to ur autionized persoin-
9. No.
10. No.
11. Not applicable .
12. None.

To:
From: $W_{\rho}$ SA John J. Creamer, Jr.

Date: 1/27/75
all information contained HERENIS UNCLASSIFIED


The following are answers to questions concerning my participation in the coverage afforded the 1964 Democratic National Convention at Atlantic City, New Jersey, August 22 - 28, 1964.
(1) Instructions to participate in this assignment were given by the C-4 Supervisor in the Newark Office. I believe that SA Vincent Murphy was the Supervisor at the time.
(2) I was told to proceed to Atlantic City to participate in a special. I did not know the details of the special but understood that it involved the protection of the President of the United States during the Democratic Convention.
(3) Upon arrival in Atlantic City I was assigned to assist SA Billie D. Williams in the establishment of a technical surveillance on a store-front where a group of demonstrators gathered.
(4) Chain of command consisted of Assistant Director DeLoach, who was assisted by SAs Hanning, Linbaugh, Addcock, and Elmer Todd. I zeported results of monitoring to SA Elmer Todd by telephone. If he was not present, I reported to whoever covered his telephone.
(5) Results of information received were handled orally over the telephone. I would assume that the results were reduced to writing at the command center.
(6) I was told that we were developing information to protect the President from violent action by demonstrators. I do not recall who told me but assume it was Mr. DeLoach who held conferences outlining our investigation.
(7) No.
(8) I do not recall the exact instructions given or by whom, but it was my impression that we were conducting our investigation to protect the President of the United States from violence. The conferences I attended concerning these matters were held by Mr. DeLoach.

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemiration ortsid your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by yrur Commi ${ }^{+}$e and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized person-
(9) I was given the usual instructions to keep my. identity confidential.
(10) I do not recall discussing this assignment with anyone outside the Bureau. Mr. Ron Kessler, Washington Post, called me on January 24, 1975, inquiring about this matter. I told him I would have to call him back, and I did not discuss it with him.
(11) I do not recall the name of the organization involved at the store-front or if they had a name. I know of no other group that was covered. I believe that Martin Luther King was covered and know of no other individuals who were covered.
(12) The monitoring of the group in the store-front consisted mainly in overhearing remarks about planned demonstrations in the vicinity of the Convention Hall and numbers of people involved. This was the type information I passed on to SA Elmer Todd. The surveillance was hindered by the fact that the demonstrators were usually away from the store-front.

I recall that I assisted in delivering equipment to SAs John Devlin and John Connelly at a large hotel in Atlantic City. I believe that SA Leo Clark was there and had arranged for some rooms for surveillance. I believe that they were surveying a room for coverage. I do not recall if SA Billie D. Williams was present or not.


1. Assistant Special Agent in Charge Murdo Smith, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Office of the FBI.
2. I was advisedithe possibly be disruption to the point of violent tactics used by various activist groups who planned to demonstrate at the 1964 Democratic Convention in Atlantic City, New Jersey. Inasmuch as the physical safety of the President and other officials at the Convention may be endangered, it was told to me that I should be present at the Atlantic City Convention since I was familiar with known activists from the Philadelphia and New York areas. I was instructed to intermingle with demonstrators outside the Atlantic City Hall where the convention was being held and be alert to any planned physical acts to disrupt the normal convention program.
3. To be aware of any acts on the part of single individuals or groups of individuals which may have been volatile or disruptive by way of violence to the normal program for this convention.
4. I reported directly to former SA Hobson H. Adcock and SA Elmer Todd.
5. Information developed relative to this assignment was furnished orally.
6. Its purpose, as related to me, by former Assistant to the Director Cartha D. DeLoach, was to be constantly intelligible as to any planned or sporadic disruptive tactics by demonstrators at the Democratic Convention which may endanger the physical safety of attendees at this convention. After being in Atlantic City for approximately one day I was advised that the Bureau had receive information two knknown Puerto Ricans were supposed to arrive at the convention and make an attempt to take the life of President Johnson. Supposedly they were to carry a sawed-off shotgun in a violin case and would attempt to take President Johnson's life when he addressed the Democratic Convention. I was directed to attempt to identify the unknown Puerto Ricans

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemipation ortside your Committee. Its use is limited in ofsirint picoscings by your Commithe and the contert may not be cisclosed to wincticurized personnel withou the express approval of the FBI.
and any information relative to their possible appearance in Atlantic City. Mr . DeLoach requested I advise him as to the best time for President Johnson to arrive in Atlantic City by helicopter. Mr. DeLoach wanted my recommendation as to the least vulnerable time for President Johnson's helicopter to land, that is, when minimal demonstration activity would be taking place.
7. No.
8. There was no doubt in my mind as to the instructions related to me by Mr. DeLoach that my involvement in the Democratic Convention was well within the Bureau's jurisdiction.
9. I was instructed that this particular assignment was to be considered of a confidential nature and was to be discussed with only those who had a need to know, or whom I was directed to divulge the nature and results of this assignment.
10. No.
11. I personally was not aware that any individuals or organizations were covered by technical surveillance.

## January ${ }^{26}$, 1975

To: Mr. Bassett
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINIS URCLASSIFIED DATE lo

Reference is made to Bureau teletype $1 / 26 / 75$, captioned "Administrative Inquiry" dealing with the 1964 Democratic National Convention at Atlantic City, New Jersey. My best recollection in connection with my participation in FBI coverage of this convention is as follows:

1. I participated in the "special" out of the Newark Office on instructions of SAC Ralph W. Bachman, ASAC Karl L. Brouse, or Field Supervisor Conrad W. Thompson, Jr.
2. My instructions at Newark were to proceed to Atlantic City with a group of Agents also assigned to the same "special" and to receive specific instructions from Senior Resident Agent Leo Clark relative to the assignment. These assignments were given by Clark or in his absence the Alternate Senior Resident Agent whose name I don't specifically recallbut who could have been Robert Tagg.
3. My assignment was more or less to participate in a loose surveillance of the convention site to ascertain any "hot spots" that might develop as a result of efforts of a dissident black political group from Mississippi attempting to infiltrate the convention site and to disrupt the convention or usurp the seating of the Mississippi delegation. As a result of this assignment, surveillance teams were issued passes for the floor of the convention and conducted a surveillance of the situation on the floor during the convention.
4. A chain of command was developed by radio as we carried portable radios on the floor and reported to a central dispatcher (name now unrecalled but a Bureau Special Agent). It is understood that this information was coordinated with other information received and furnished to SA Clark.

> This document is prepared in response to your request and is not on dissemination outsirle your Committee. Its use is limitsl io officinal proczedings by your Comont the and the content many not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.
5. No results of my efforts were reduced to writing and everything was handled orally. To the best of my knowledge, no written communications were prepared between Atlantic City and the Newark Office on this "special."
6. My recollection at this time with regard to the purpose of the assignment was to develop information that might lead to the preventing of trouble or disorder at the convention, particularly as a result of black dissidents attempting to force themselves on the convention.
7. There was no specific information ever received at any time that indicated that the Bureau's services were being utilized for political purposes.
8. No information can be recalled relating to instructions received that would indicate that those issuing the instructions felt that this surveillance fell within the Bureau's jurisdiction concerning investigations of terrorism, criminals, or other unlawful acts falling within the Bureau's jurisdiction; however, in the same general time frame the Bureau had been active in following civil rights demonstrations and to my recollection I considered this an extension of that same effort.
9. It is my recollection that all members of the "special" received instructions to the effect that the assignment should remain in the strictest confidence, and also that every effort should be made in carrying out our surveillance activity to remain anonymous.
10. I have never discussed this with anyone outside the Bureau. No attempts have been made to interview me by any outside idividual on this maiter including former Bureau personnel.
11. At the time of the "special" I had no knowledge that electronic surveillances were being used. Since that time, however, an approximate $11 / 2$ years ago, as a result of my current assignment, handling electronic surveillances at Headquarters, and in connection with some inquiry which I cannot now recall, I became aware that two electronic surveillances were utilized at Atlantic City during the 1964 convention. One of these was Martin Luther King and the other a civil rights organization that I cannot now recall.
12. It is my best recollection that during the surveillance on the convention floor we worked closely with Secret Service details on the floor and that group was aware of our presence in the convention.


The Attorney General

Director, FBI
0
U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSE)

November 12, 1975
1 - Mr. R. L. Shackelford
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 -Mr. J. G. Deegan


Enclosed is the original of a memorandum responding to a request by Mr. Mark Gitenstein of the SSC staff. This memorandum and its enclosures are provided for your approval and forwarding to the SSG.

A copy of the memorandum is also included for your records along with attachments.

There is also enclosed an SSC document captioned "Summary of Domestic Intelligence Briefing, November 17, 1975," which was furnished to this Bureau by Mr. Mark Gitenstein of the SSC staff on November .4, 1975.
Enclosures - 23
62-116395


1 - The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Michael E. Shaken, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
JGD:tdp/adn xe ir (10)


Dep. AD Adm. -
Dep. AD Inv. -
Asst. Dir.:
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62-116395
ALLINFORMMTION CONTAINs


2 - Mr. Mintz (1 - J.B. Hotis)<br>1 - Mr. Wannall<br>November Shackelford<br>1 - Mr. Cregar<br>1 - Mr. Deegan

##  <br> STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPTEAH1OAS WITH 

Reference is made co an SSC document captioned *Stramery of Domestic Intelligence briefing, november 17. 1975," which was furnished to chis Bureau by Me. Mark Glrenstein of che SSC staff on November 4, 1975. Pussuant to a request by Mr. Gitenstein at that time. the following material is enclosed for use by the Conmitten for che proposed november 17. 1975, executive session:
(1) Organizational chart showing authorized personnel complement for the Internal Security Branch, intelligence Division. FBI, as of November 6.1975.
(2) Individual urite-ups of the five major reorganizations in the Internal Security Branch, Intellsgence Division. FBI, requested by Mr. Citenstein. These reorganizations cook place in 1955, 1964, 1967, and 1973.
(3) Chart Captioned *Investigative Matters Received - Subversive Investigations" for the period 1955 to 1975.
(4) Chart captioned "Investigative Matters
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Director Sec'y _ Received - Extremist Investigations" for the period 1959 to 1975.
(5) Chart captioned "Investigative Matters Received - Security Informants and Confidential Sources for the period 1955 to 1975. There axe no informant numbers disclosed on this chart; however, the chart shows the ebb and flow of security informants for the period indicated.


ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY SEE NOTE PAGE TWO MAIL ROOM $\square$

TELETYPE UNIT $\square$
$\qquad$
(6) Chaxt captioned "Investigative Natters Received - Extremist Infomants and Confidential Sources" for the period 1964 to 1975. There are no informant numbers disclosed on this chart; however, the chart shows the ebb and flow of extremist informants for the period indicated.
(7) Individual write-up concerning the reorganization of the Intemal Security Branch, Incelligence Division, FBI, in 1970. This information was orally requested by Mr. Gitenstein on November 7, 1975.
(8) Write-up captioned "Craft of Domestic Intelligence Investigations" dated November 6, 1975.
(9) Write-up captioned "Internal Security Threats - Subversive, $1955^{\text {to }}$ 1975" dated November 6, $1975 . ~_{\text {d }}$.
(10) Write-up captioned "Internal Security Threats - Extremist, 1954 to 1975" dated November 6, 1975. Enclosures (10)

NOTE:
Gitenstein requested the material being enclosed with this LHM for use in the briefing books being prepared for the Committee members who will be present at the planned executive session on $11 / 17 / 75$. Most of the material has already been furnished to the SSC in one form or another with the exception of write-ups 8,9 and 10 , mentioned above, all dated 11/6/75.

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535
Addressee: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
$\square N T R \quad[X$ LM $\square$ Memo $\square$ Report dated $11 / \$ 3 / 75$ Caption of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (SSC). (SSC document
furnished 11/4/75 re proposed 11/17/75 executive sếsiono
Originating Office $\quad$ FBI


Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI
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TO: Intelligence Community Staff ATTN: Central Index

FROM:
EBL

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

| $\times$ | DOCUMENT | BRIEFING | INTERVIEW | TESTIMONY | OTHER | 122775 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)
4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures
5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, other-
6. CLASSIFICATION OF wise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

Oral request $11 / 4 / 75$
7. KEY wORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

## Organization and Staffing Oporating procedurea

> SuMary (see reverse side be fore complet ing this it em)

Haterial furnished for use by the Comittee for proposed 11/17/75 executive session: Organization chart showing authorized personnel complerient for the Internal Security Branch Intelligence Division as of $11 / 6 / 75$; Individual write-ups of the five major reorganizations in the Interal Security Branch Intelligence Division, in 1955, 1964, 1967, and 1973;Chart captioned "Investigative Hatters Received Subversive Investigations ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ for the period 1955 to 1975;Chare captioned "Investigative Matters Received - Extremist Investigations" for the period 1959 to 1975;Chart captioned "Investigative Matters Received - Security Informants and Confidential Sources" for the period 1955 to 2975; Chart captioned "Investigative Katters Received Extremist Informants and Confidential Sources: for the period 1964: to 1975. Individual write-up concerning the reorgenization of the Internal Security Branch, Intelligence Division, 1970; Wrikerypeaf 75 captioned "Craft of Doaestic Intelligence Investigations, 1176/75; Vritc-up captioned "Internal Security Threats - Subversive, 1955 to 1975" 11/6/75;Write-Up naptioned "Internal Security Threats Extremist 1954 to $1975^{\prime \prime}$ dated 12/6/75.
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- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.
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November 10, 1975
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE $4-14-81$ BYSP2 - Mr. D. Ryan

- Mr. W. O. Gregar 1-Mr. S.F.Phillips

> U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
> TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WTH RESPECT TO ENTELLIGENCE ACTIVITEES (SSC)


The following conceras the interview of former FBI SA Russell II. \#lorner by SSC Staff Members.

On Ocrober 14, 1975, SSC Staff Hember Barbara Banoff advised the Legal Counsel Division of this Bureau that it was desired that Horner be made available for a Staff interview conceming his knowledge of COINTELPRO (Countarintelligence Program). Homer was subsequently released from his confidentiality agreement with the EBI for the purpose of the interview relating to the subject matter of COINTELPRO.

There follows a statement which Horner voluntarily fumished the EBI concerning the interview of him.
"On October 28, 1975. I was interviewed from approximately 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. in Room 608 of the former Carroll Arms Hotel in Washington by Church Committee Staff Members Barbara Banofi and an individual believed to be Mary Deoreo. At the outset of the interview I was presented an Advice of Rights statement which I signed. Also present was i) a court reporter whose name I do not recall. At the conclusion of the interview, Banoff stated it was not her feeling I fould be recalled for additional interviews but that a copy of the transcript would be made available to me for certification at
a later date.
Assoc. Dir. $\overline{\text { Dep. AD Adms - }}$
Dep. AD inv. Asst. Dir.:
Admin.
Comp. Syst. -
Comp. Syst.



ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

WROL SOE NOTE PAGE THREE
U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)
Re: Interview of Former FBI Special Agent (SA) Russell H. Horner by SSC Staff Kembers
'The main thrust of the entire interview dealt with procedures and policy surrounding the COINLELPRO, I was able to advise that I had been assigned to the unit supervising the COINTELPRO from sometime during the Summer of 1968 until 1969 or 1970, the exact date I could not recall. The entire interview was devoted mainly to how the COINTELPRO operated with litele or no effort being made as to specific COINTELPRO efforts being discussed. Banoff was advised that we did not set policy on the unit level, that all COINTELPRO proposals were approved, to the best of my recollection, in the Director's Office, but could have been disapproved on the Assistant Director level. Banofe had a document written by former Supervisor Bernard A. Wells, and addressed to all offices, in July, 1968, which set out 11 specific areas where counterintelligence action might be taken under the COINTELPRO. Miss Banoff went into each item in an effort to determine how or why each item appeared in the communication. It was mainly explained to her that the letter was apparently written following a survey of the field offices regarding counterintelligence activity that had taken place, She endeavored to find out whether the Inspection Staff had looked into the COINTELPRO both in the field and at Headquarters. She was advised that the Inspection Division would normally look into this Program in both areas, but as to the nature of their inquiry, I would be unable to furnish any information and that Inspection policy was a matter for the Inspection Division to comment on. She inquired as to how the COINTELPRO was taken from the unit and I explained that after a period of time following its initial institution, the Program was gradually deemphasized, bacame almost inactive in the unit and was finally txansferred back to the New Left Section. I advised her that it did not appear that the Program had been particularly effective in the New Left field. I advised that COINTISLRO existed duxing an entire different atmosphere in 1968-1970 than thet prevailing now and that it wo be almost impossible to give such a Program fair judgment today.
U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Govermmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)
Re: Interview of Former FBI Special Agent (SA)
Russel1. H. Homer by SSC Staff Members
"Miss Banoff inquired in the areas of anonymous mailings, the furnishing of information to cooperative news media, the use of derogatory information regarding the morals of leaders in the New Left Movement, and the general detemmination of parameters that constituted the New Left as far as the Bureau was concerned. Miss Banoff was cordial thwoughout the interview as was Miss Deoreo."

NOTE:
Legal Counsel Division contact with Banoff was by Supervisor P. V. Daly. After the interview, Horner dictated his statement to an FBIHQ stenographer.

| TO: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intelligence Community Staff | FROM: |
| ATTN Central 'Index |  |

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

\&emoxandum reporting the results or an interview by SSE Staff Members oi former Spatial igent Russell H. Homer.
5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, other-

The Attomey General with a copy for forwarding to the White louse
4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)
wise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter $U, C, S, T S$ or Codeword)

## U

7. KEY YORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)
COUNTERITIESIKGENCE
8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Interviewed regarding procedures and policy surrounding the COMMELPRO.

62-116395
FMR: fink
(4) ORTGINAL VIA LIALSON TO CENTRAL COMMNITY INDEX IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75
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- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
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1 - Mr. AB. Adams.
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. R. I. Shackelford
1 w Mr. W. O. Cregar
November 7, 1975
1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

ALL INFORMATiON CONTAINED HEREINIS UnCLASSIFIED DATE 1024010

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum, with attachment, concerning an interview of FBI Special Agent in Charge Stanley S. Caarnecki by an SSC Staff Member. Also enclosed is a copy of the memorandum, with attachment, for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wllderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (4)
62-116395
1- The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Michael E. Shebeen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

1 - 67. (Personnel File SAC Stanley S. Gzarnecki)
SFP:1hb/hb
(11)
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 BY SEC STATE LIEXBE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

The following concerns on interviory of SLR Stanley S．Camacti by SSC Staff lumber．

O2 September 24，1975，Lis．John Smith，SSU Staff Member，advised the Hut y Legal Counsel Division that he desired to interview Czamecki concerning the EZI＇s investigation of Key Activists－ier Left．The
納 F 路 for the parse of the intervierf．

Attacked is a memorandum dated Cetober 1．1975， at Louisville，Kentucky，which is Gzamacki＂is report of the results of the interview of hin which took place September 26， 1975.

## Haclomme

$$
1 \text { - 67. (Personnel File SAC Stanley S. Czarnecki) }
$$
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Legal Counsel Division contact with Czarnecki

# FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION 

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

Louisville, Kentucky
October 1, 1975
U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)
RE: INTERVIEW OF FBI SPECIAL AGENT IN
CHARGE (SAC) STANLEY S. CZARNECKI BY SEC STAFF MEMBER

On Friday, September 26, 1975, Mr. John Smith, Staff Member, SSC, interviewed SAC Stanley S. Czarnecki in his FBI office, Louisville, Kentucky, for approximately thirty minutes.

Czarnecki was not advised of any constitutional rights nor was he requested to sign any waiver of rights form.

Mr. Smith stated that while he was in his Washington, D. Cos office earlier this week, members of the SSC staff, identities not mentioned, requested him to contact Czarnecki in connection with Smith's impending visit to his home in Louisville. Specifically, they requested Smith to interview Czarnecki concerning a memorandum Czarnecki had "signed out," captioned "Investigations of Key Activists - New Left," dated May 24, 1968. Mr. Smith stated he did not have a copy of this memorandum nor had he read it. He stated it dealt with requesting of Federal Income Tax returns of certain Key Activists from the U. S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Czarnecki advised he could not recall this specific memorandum nor its contents. Czarnecki advised Mr. Smith that he doubts that he had "signed out" a memorandum and then explained all of his correspondence was approved or "signed out" by a Unit Chief to which Czarnecki was assigned at any given time. It was further explained that Czarnecki had served in two Sections, eight Units; and three Divisions at FBI headquarters during the period September, 1967, through April, 1972.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.


- U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)
RE: INTERVIEW OF FBI SPECIAL AGENT IN
'CHARGE (SAC) STANLEY S. CZARNECKI BY SSC STAFF MEMBER

Mr. Smith asked Czarnecki if he had ever requested IRS to furnish the FBI any income tax returns on Key Activists and what procedure was followed in requesting same. Czarnecki explained he could have, however, could not recall if he personally had ever requested such tax returns; however, he does recall such requests were made on a selective basis. These requests were made only after careful review of the request and approval obtained by officials within the Domestic Intelligence Division.

Smith asked Czarnecki if he had ever seen any of the tax returns and what type of security was afforded them. Czarnecki advised he had seen some tax returns of Key Activists and believes the number was small. These returns as well as all documents located in the Domestic Intelligence Division were afforded top security and most all such material was reviewed on a need-to-know basis.

Smith also asked whether the Bureau supervisor handling Key Activists had direct live contact with Internal Revenue Service Agents. Czarnecki stated he recalls no direct contact was ever had with IRS people by the regular Bureau supervisors.

Smith asked Czarnecki's opinion whether or not the Federal Income Tax returns should be made available to all law enforcement agencies to aid them in certain types of investigations. Czarnecki advised he could not speak for all of law enforcement, however, depending upon the type of investigative matter any and all information that could be developed on a key subject or suspect would be helpful.

Mr . Smith advised Czarnecki that he may be back in touch with him in approximately three weeks and, if necessary, will have a copy of the memorandum which Czarnecki allegedly "signed out."
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It is understood that Senator Schweiker may quote from the Manual of Rules and Regulations, Part .I, Section 9, Disciplinary Matters, Item C, Investigation. There is attached a statement which may be introduced in explanation of this particular item.

## RECOMMENDATION:

That attached be forwarded to the Legal Counsel Division.

Enc.
1 -Mr. Jenkins (Enclosure)
1-Mr. Adams (Enclosure)
1-Mr. Mints (Enclosure)
1-Mr. Moore (Enclosure)
1 - Mr. Walsh (Enclosure)
1 -Mr. Armet (Enclosure)
(8)

ALLINFORMATIONCONTAINED




The FBI's Manual of Rules and Regulations, Part I, Section ${ }^{1!}$. Disciplinary Matters, Item C. Investigation, states as follows:
"Any investigation necessary to develop complete essential facts regarding any allegation against Bureau employees must be instituted promptly, and every logical lead which will establish the true facts should be completely run out unless such action would embarrass the Bureau or might prejudice pending investigations or prosecutions in which event the Bureau will weigh the facts, along with the recommendation of the division head."

The statement, "unless such action would embarrass the Bureau," means that in such eventuality, FBI Headquarters desires to be advised! of the matter before investigation is instituted so that Headquarters would be on notice and could direct the inquiry if necessary.

The statement, "unless such action. . . might prejudice pending investigations or prosecutions in which event the Bureau will weigh the facts" means that in such cases, FBI Headquarters would desire to carefully evaluate the propriety of initiating or deferring investigation of a disciplinary matter where such investigation might prejudice pending investigations or prosecutions.

Nothing in this Manual provision is/to deviate from the FBI's established policy of conducting logical and necessary investigation to resolve possible misconduct on the part of its employees.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED



Loujtudy/SEMSTUDY "JUNE" ENClosure permanent charge out form

RE - HOUSTUDY 62-116404OR

SENSTUDY E2-116395-1125 EBF

NOTE: THIS IS A PERMANENT CHARGE OUT FOR A XEROX COPI/OOPIES OF "JUNE" MAIL THAT WAS INCLUDED IN COPIES OF FBI DOCUMENTS FURNISHED TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL BY HEMO/LETTER DATED $\|-6-75$ IN RESPONSE TO REqUEST (S) MADE BY EITHER THE U. S. SENATE OR HOUSE SELECT COMMUTES ON InTELLIGENCE. THE COPY/COPIES OF THE "JUNE" MALL DATED AS INDICATED BELOW HAS/HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS ENCLOSURE MATERIAL TO BE FIIED IN FiB APPROPRIATE HOUSTUDY OR SENSTUDY "JUNE" FILE $\because$ INDICATED ABOVE, LOCATED IN THE SPECIAL FILE ROOM OF THE RECORDS SECTION.

DATE (S ) OF MAIL: 6-27-66
REMOVED BY: Wand low DATE 2E:COVED: 9-28-76

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN
PHILIP A. BART, MICH. ¿, TOWPRO H. BAKER, JRF., TENN.
WALTER F. MON LE, MINN. hARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
WALter 0 . hUODLESTON, KY. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. FEET I:OKGAN, N.C. HICHARD S. SCMWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL
rived States Serrate
SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (pursuant to s. res. ai, grith congress) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510
. October 15, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department off Justice

Washington, D.C. 20530
Dear Mike:
Enclosed is a request for document's pertaining to the Martin Luther King, Jr. matter. Delivery is requested by Friday, October 24, 1975

Your cooperation is appreciated.
Sincerely,


Director
Domestic Intelligence Task Force


REC .7 $62-116395=1125$

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
 HEREINISUMCLASSIFIED
DATE $16 / 2400$ BY SPAM.

## DOCUMENT REQUEST

(1) All memoranda and other materials reflecting or relating to any and all efforts, steps, or plans or proposals to ."discredit" and/or "neutralize" Martin Luther King, Jr.
2. All memoranda and other materials reflecting that any of the following individuals. were aware of any efforts, steps, or plans or proposals to "discredit" or "neutralize" Martin Luther King, Jr.:
a. President Kennedy
b. President Johnson
c. Attorney General Kennedy
d. Attorney General. Katzenbach

ALL.INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINISUHCASSIFIED
DATE OLIO BY SARALM
e. Assistant Attorney General Marshall

All memoranda and other materials reflecting that any of the individuals listed in Item 2 above were aware of any microphone surveillances with respect to Martin Luther King, Jr.
4. All memoranda and other materials which pertain to the following statement which appears in the December 20, 1963 memorandum from Mr. Bland to Mr . Sullivan, captioned "Communist Influence in Racial Matters, Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Martin Luther King, Jr., Security Matter - C":

The Attorney General, when granting authority for technical surveillance on the residence of Martin Luther King, Jr., and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC), indicated he desired that coverage be closely watched and .evaluated in thirty days."

Please include any materials reflecting or containing the abovedescribed request, instruction, or indication of the Attorney General, as well as any evaluation or justification which was consequently submitted to the Attorney General or to anyone else in the Department of Justice.
5. The identity of the person or persons whose initials appear in the Upper left-hand corner of Mr. Bland's December 20, 1963 memorandum (identified in Item 6 above) underneath the words "Received and Justified - ok - 1/20/64."

All memoranda and other materials reflecting the "Director's instruction that the Attorney General be given the results of this coverage" as stated in the second paragraph of Mr. Sullivan's 1/13/64 memorandum to Mr. Belmont, captioned "Communist Party, USA, Negro Question, Communist Influence in Racial Matters, Internal Security - Communist."

## -2-

7. With respect to the March 4, 19.64 memorandum from Mr. Baumgardner to Mr. Sullivan (June), dated March 4, 1964, captioned "Communist Party, USA, Negro Question, Communist Influence in Racial Matters, Internal Security - Communist," please provide:
a. Any materials relating to "the memorial" described on page 2;
b: Any materials in addition to the notation "Done, 8/6/64, D" indicating the accomplishment of recommendation (1).
C. Any materials in addition to the notation "Done, $3 / 10 / 64$, E" indicating the accomplishment of Recommendation (2).
8). All memoranda and any other materials reflecting or pertaining to conversations or contacts between FBI personnel and former Special Agent Joe Woods which pertain to Martin Luther King,Jr.
8. Serial \#94-3-4-61-355.
(10). All serials commencing with \#94-3-4-61- which contain Martin Luther King's name.
9. All materials and information explaining or pertaining to the circumstances surrounding, or facts bearing on, the absence, disappearance, or present location of Mr. DeLoach's 11/21/64 memorandum which is described in the first paragraph of the December l, 1964 memorandum from Mr. DeLoacih to Mr. Mohr, captioned "Ben Bradlee, Bureau Chief, "Newsweek" Magazine, Washington, D.C.!'
10. All materials and any other information pertaining to the information contained in the third paragraph of Mr. DeLoach's December 1, 1964 memorandum (described in Item 11 above, to wit: "that the FBI had told him [Bradlee] that Martin Luther King was a (excised)."
11. All memoranda; other materials, and information pertaining to the use or contemplated use of King electronic surveillance transcripts which would provide an explanation or elaboration for the "need" mentioned in the $12 / 10 / 64$ "兆ddendum of $C$. D. DeLoach" to Mr. Sullivan's December 2, 196.4. "blind" memorandum to Mr. Belmont captioned "Summary, Highly Sensitive Coverage, Martin Luther King, Jr.," dated December 2", 1964.
12. NYlet dated $7 / 16 / 62$, captioned "Martin Lutner King, Security Matter - C", which is referenced in the first paragraph of the Director's July 20, 1962 letter to the SAC, Mobile, captioned "Communist Infiltration of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Internal Security - $C^{\prime \prime}$.

The $11 / 21 / 61$ communication from Atlanta which is described as follows in the penultimate sentence of the "note on yellow" of the Director's July 20, 1962 letter to the SAC, Atlanta, captioned "Communist Infiltration of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Internal Security - C":

As late as 11/21/61 the Atlanta Office has advised no. information has been developed on which to base a security inquiry on SCLC.

The "New York letters to Bureau, dated $3 / 6 / 62$ and $3 / 8 / 62$ " which are referenced on the first cover page of the 4/13/62 report of SA John J. Elliott, captioned "Martin Luther King, Jr." under the character "Security Matter - C".
17. The "Bulet $8 / 29 / 62$ " which is referenced in the last paragraph of the Director's September 17, 1962 letter to the SAC, Savannah, captioned "Communist Infiltration of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Internal Security - C."

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: $\qquad$ SENATE SELECT COMMITTEEL $\square$ Memo $\square$ Report dated 11/5/75 Caption of Document: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE.
10/15/75 request, Items $1,3,4,6,8$,


Received by:


Title: Pest. Security,

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREINIS UNCLASSIFIED
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2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
( 1-Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

1. Mr. W. O. Cregar

1 - Mr. J. T. Aldhizer
November 6, 1975
U. S. STATE SELECT COMEITRES TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SEC)
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

Reference is made to SSC letter dated October 15, 1975, containing request a for materials concerning Martin Luther RIng, Jr.

The propose of this memorandum is to effect delivery to the SSC of information and materials relating to request: contained in SSC letter dated October 15, 1975.

Being delivered with this memorandum are materials relating to Items $1,3,4,6,8,9,10,14,15,16$, and 17.

Material being delivered in response to Item 1 includes ali memoranda located in the king file pertaining to FBI efforts to "discredit" and/or "neutralize" Ring. It is noted that additional memoranda referring to efforts to "discredit" king are being delivered with material in response to Item 3. It is further noted that other FBI efforts to "discredit" and/or "neutralize" King involved the dissemination of information concerning him outside the executive Branch of Government and those undertaken under Comprapwo (Counterintelilgence Program). Documents pertinent to the latter two areas have been furnished the SSC in response to previous requests. Asst. Dir.: Admin. $\qquad$ Item 2 requests all memoranda and other materials Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs _ Files \& Com. -
$\qquad$
dent. $\qquad$
$\qquad$ intel. $\qquad$ and Attorney General Nicholas de B. Retzenbach;

Laboratory $\qquad$ Plan. \& Evil. -
Spec. Inv. $\qquad$
Legal Count. $\qquad$
Telephone Rm. -
JTA: adn/eks el bs
SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

Director Sec'y _ $\square$
ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG


In connection with the SSC's many varied and voluminous requests fos information relaring to King, repeated reviews of the King and related case files and records have been made by FBI Meadquasters (FBIHQ) personnel in oxder to be responsive to such requests. Some of these requests have been so broad, general and, in some instances, duplicative in nature, that a sexial-by-sexial. page-by-page review of the King and relacied \$1les has been necessasy. Accordingly, a cotal review of the King hat related case Eiles and records in response to Item 2 hes not been made in this instance. A review of the King file in response to ocher itens included in the October 15, 1975, request end a polling of all Headquarters personnel involved in that and previous zevieus did not sesult in the location or zecollection of any information in FBIHQ files to indicate any of the aforementioned individuals were specifically avare of any efforts, steps or plens or proposals co "discredit". or "neutralize" king.

It is, of course, evident that mach informetion developed in the course of the King case involving him in activities of interest so the Mite House and to representatives of the Department of Juscice, including Attorneys General Kennedy and Katzenbach, as well as Assistant Actorney General Marshall, was such chat 18 could conceivably have been the opinion of one or moxe of the above individuals that such information was being provided to "discredic" or "neutralize" king.

Regerding Item 3, a portion of the material being delivered involves cover commenications which transmitted to the White Mouse end Actorneys Genexal memoranda containing informetion obtained Erom telephone and microphone survelilances of king. Thile the White House and Attorneys General were not specifically advised that the information provided was primarily obtalned from microphone surveillance, the wording of the memoranda Gurnished, including direct quotes, wes. couched in such a manner that it should have been obvious a mitcrophone was the source.

SENATE SELECT COMMITTE ON INTHLLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (8SC)

With respect to Item 4, it is noted that in his memorandum dated Getober 21, 1963, which is being delivered, Bvans Indicated that the Attorney General "asked that this coverage and that on King's residence be evaluated at the end of 30 days in light of the results secured so that the continuance of these surveillances could be determined at that time:" There is no indication the Attorney General desired to be advised of this evaluation; In the Bland to Sullivan memorandum dated December 20,1963 , it is pointed out that the installations on King and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC) were closely supervised and evaluated and it wes recommended and subsequently approved by the FBI Director that these installations be continued for an additional three months. These surveillances continued with periodic internal FBI justifications until 1965, with product. of the surveillances being regularly furnished the Department of Justice and other interested Government agencies. From 1965 to the discontimuance of these surveillances in 1966, the Department of Justice wes furnished six-month justifications on this coverage. Copies of these memoranda of justification are being delivered in response to Item 4, along with copies returned by the Department bearing what appear to be the initials of Mr. Katzenbach in the upper right-hand corner.

Regarding Item 5 and the Bland to Sullivan memorandum dated December 20, 1963, there appear to be two sets of initials in the upper left-hand corner. The top initials appear to be those of W. T, Forsyth, dictator of the memorandum. Lower initials appear to be those of J. F. Bland.

Regarding Item 6, the routing slip from the Director contains instructions that the Attorney General be given results of coverage as stated in the second paragraph of the Sullivan memorandum to Belmont dated Jamary 13, 1964. This routing slip denotes enclosures as the memorandum of January 13, 1964, and a letter to the Attorney General. This letter is not being delivered because its entire contents are the product of electronic surveillance of king.


SENATE SELECT COMMTTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Bureau Chief, 'Newsweek' Magazine, Washington, D. C" As previously indicated to the SSC, an exhaustiqe review of logical. FBHA files, including abstracts of DeLoach's correspondence, falled to resulc in the locacion of a November 21; 1964, memorandum concerning Mr. Bradlee and his contact rith EBIHQ.

This Bureau is in possession of no information bearing on the absence, diseppearence or present location of such a memorandum and the fact that a reference to such a momorandum In the December 1, 1964, memorandum was erroneous cannot be discounted

With respect to Item 12, it is not fully clear to this Bureau as to what is meant by the SSC's request for all materials and other information pertaining to information contained in the third paragraph of Mr. Deleach's memorandum of December 1, 1964, captioned "Ben Bradlee, Bureau Chief 'Newsweek' Magazine, Washington, D C.s" previously furnished to the SSG. If this request pertains solely to the exeised material following the phrascology "that the FBI had told him (Bradlee) that Martin Lather King was a_, it should be noted that such information is the product of electronic surveillance of King and is, therefore, not to be made avallable.

With respect to Item 13, no information could be located in FBIHQ files to explain what ME. Deloach meant by the "need" mentioned in his addendum dated December 10, 1964, to the memorandum of lir Sullivan dated December 2, 1964, captioned "Summery, Highly Sensitive Coverage, Martin Luther King, Jr." It should be noted that the only use of information obtained from the transcripts of electronic surveillances of King was to incorporate such information-into comminieations For dissemination. Details of this disseminetion have been furnished the SSC in response to previous requests. Therefore, in light of the use being made of the transcripts, it might be presumed that what Deloach had in mind was needing the transcripts to be able to prepare higher quality comminications for dissemination. This wiuld appear to be supported by

SENATE SELECT COMMITRE ON INIELLGENCE ACTIVITIBS (SSC)

Deloach's statement that there was no "need" for the transcriptions"right now." implying there could be a need at some future time.

Whth respect to the excisions in materials being delivered herewith, where no notation appears as to reason for excision, the excision wes made for the reason that the information actually summarizes or quotes from conversations monitored during electronic surveillances of King. In some cases, it can be ascertained precisely what information in FBI files came from electronic surveillances of King; however; there exist areas where documentation of the information is not precise and no accurate deterinination can be made. Therefore, no assurances can be given that portions of the materials being furnished do not contain information developed as a result of electronic survelilances of king.

1 - The Attorney General

November 6, 1975
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan
1 -Mr. R. D. Shea

Reference is made to the September 29, 1975, SSC request, forwarded to the Bepartiment of Justice by letter dated September 29, 1975, listing certain documents and ocher information; desired from the Fill.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the Committee is an original of a memorandum, with enclosure, in

Also enclosed for your records is a copy of memorandum, with enclosure.

Enclosures (4)
62-116395

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINIS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 10 2 2402 BY 2 faALM1 60

1 - Deputy Attorney General Attention: Michael E. Shaken, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

Dep. AD Adm. dep. AD Inv. -
Asst. Dir.:
Admin:
Comp: Syst.
Ext. Affairs:-
CyFiles \& Com. ニ
Gen. Inv
indent?
Inspection -
lintel. \&": Laboratory ? Plan. 8 Evil. Spec. Inv Training Legal Count. -_ Dissector SQEC Id :32.4.545 1 Gage 89
wRw/gu
O. S. SENATE SELECT COUNTIES
$\qquad$

The Attorney General

NOTE:
Single copies of the $9 / 29 / 75$ communications are atached to the file copy of the enclosed LHM. An exact copy of the material being furnished is maintained in the office of the Senstudy 75 Project. Other pertinent files, eg. 157-00, Cominfị Mass Organizations, were reviewed by SA R. D. Shea. SA Shea also contacted SA L. W. Brady, Files and Communications Division, and his staff regarding the mechanics involved in the various consolidations of the Southern Christian Ieadership Conference (SCLC) file. In addition, SA E. J. McDonough, Givil Rights Section, General Investigative Division, advised that particular Division not in possession of any material relating to Item 6. In Item 6, SSC refers to paragraph 1, page 2, of 10/23/72 letter from the Director to SAC, Atlanta, captioned "Communist Infilitration of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference(SCLC); Internal Security - C." This is set forth as follows: All offices are cautioned that any information developed concerning the SCLC relating to racial matters must be reported under the racial matters caption. It should be noted that the Bureau maintains a separate file on SCLC relating to racial incidents (157-632).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { - Mr. J. A. Mintz } \\
& \text { (1-J. B. Hotis) } \\
& 1 \text { - Mr. W. R. Wannall } \\
& 1 \text { - Mr. W. O. Cregar }
\end{aligned}
$$

November 6， 1975
1－Mr．J．G．Began
1 －Mr．R．D．Shea

U．S．SENATE SELECT CONATTTES
TO STUDY GOVERNMEnTAL ORERATIOESS
GITE RESPECT TO INTHLIGENGE ACTIVITIES（SEC）

Reference is made to the letter from the Director of the Domestic Intelligence Task Force，SSC，to the Deputy Attorney General＇s Office dated September 29，1975，requesting delivery of FBI material pertaining to Martin Luther King，Jr．

The purpose of this memorandum is to respond to Item 6 of the above request．

Item 6 requested materials reflecting authority for any instructions issued prior to October 23，1962，with respect to developing＂any information ．．．concerning the Souther Christian Leadership Conference（SCLC）relating to racial matters （which）must be reported under the racial matters caption，＂ and the maintenance of＂a separate file on SCLC relating to racial incidents（157－632）．＂（See first paragraph on page 2 ， of October 23，1962，letter from the Director to the SAG，Atlanta， captioned＂Communist Infiltration of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference；Internal Security－（ ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ）．

In respect to Item 6，an extensive，exhaustive search
Assoc．Dir． Dep．AD Adm．－
Dep. AD Inv. -

Asst．Dir．：
Admin．
Comp．Syst．
Ext．Affairs＿－ Files \＆Com．－
Gen．Inv．
dent． Inspection Inspect
Intell． $\qquad$

Laboratory Plan．\＆Eva．－ Spec．Inv． Training Legal Coun． Telephone Rm．－
Director Sec＇y
（9）

ORIGINAL AND ONE TO AG as been conducted of pertinent FBI Headquarters files．However： this search did not uncover any specific documents that disclosed any specific instructions being issued to FBI field offices regarding the above matter with the possible exception of one document，with is enclosed herewith．

U. B. Senate Select Comaittee

In regard to Bureau file 100-438794 captioned
"Communist Infiltration of the Southem Christian Leadership Conference; Infornation Conceming, Internal Security - C, ${ }^{*}$ this file began in 1957, as Bureau file 100-427079 for the purpose of collecting public source information concerning the SCLC in comection with the racial situation. Bureau file 100-427079 was changed to 157-632 on July 26, 1962, On June 26* 1969, 157-632 was changed to the present file, 100-438794. A11 correspondence contained in the previous files was consolidated into 100-438794. The vast majority of the corrospondence in 100-438794 is data pertaining to the Comanist Insiltration of the SCLC.

A review of the correspondence in this fille relating to racial incidents has been conducted and it was found that the file did not contain any specific instructions prior to October 23, 1962, with respect to developing any inforation concerning the SCLC relating to racial matters with the possible e:ception of the document being furnished.

Bnclosure
1 - The Attorney General


# Invite meat senate 

select committee to
GTUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH Respect yo intlllicence activities
(Pursuant to s. hes. if, situ congress)
WASHINGTON, DC. 20510
September 29, 1975

Michael E. Shaken, Jr., Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department of Justice

Washingtoil, D.C. 20530
Dear Mike:
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED


Enclosed is a document request for materials pertaining to, the King matter.

I would like to set October 6,1975 as a deadline for delivery of all outstanding previously-requested documents pertaining to the king matter and October il, 1975 for delivery of 'all documents requested on the attached list.

I would also appreciate your arranging a briefing for Mike Epstein for sometime this week by knowledgable Fl3I personnel concerning:
A. The present location and organization of any files and materials which were found to be in William C. Sullivan's Bureau office when he was denied further access thereto in or about September 1971; and
B. $\Lambda$ description of the contents of such files materials.

Finally, we would like to have access to the Department of Justice files pertaining to Martin Luther King, Jr. and/or the Southern Christian Leadership conferene for the year 1962. The materials in these "DJ" Will be reviewed pursuant to the terms of the procedural agreement between the Committee and the Department, ${ }^{2}$. $6 E C, 51075$ kilisubsequent to review of such Files certain items will be SEP Grblay kesignated to be screened forydelivery.


## (DELEIED)

ALLINFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINIS UHCLASSIFIED DATE 10724
2. All materials relating to rental $0:$ other arrangements made by the FBI for the use of an apartment or premises located in the Peachtree Fowers, Atlanta, Georgia, as a listening post, as well as all materials relatiry to the termination of: such arrangements, use, and/ory. occupancy.
3. Access to all Forms FD 185 and attachments (including forms entitled "Performance Rating Guide for Investigative Personnel") for Special Agent Alden F. ifiller for the years 1960-1968.
4. Any materials reflecting dates upon which Special Agent William D. Campbell, while attached to the Washington Field Office, was on special or temporary assignment at FBI Headquarters (Laboratory or Intelligence Division), between January l, 1964 and the date of his transfer to 1. , the Laboratory Division at FBI Headquarters.
5. Materials which would reflect the authority and instructions issued for FBI personnel to surveil, monitor, investigate and/or report on civil rights demonstrations, protests, rallies, or marches which involved kartin Luther King, Jr. and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference prior to October 23, 1962.
6. Materials reflecting authority for and instructions issued prior to October 23, 1962, with respect to developing "any information. . . concerning the SCLC relating to racial matters (which) must be reported under the racial matters caption," and the maintenance:of "a scparate file on SCLC relating to racial incidents (157-632)." (Sce first paragraph on page 2 of October 23, 1962 letter from the Dircctor to the SAC, Atlanta, captioned "Communist Infiltration of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Internal
7. All materials reflecting proposals, recomandations or decisions to include Hartin Luther King on the Security, Reserve, Rabble-Rouser, and/or Agitator Index or list, andor his designation as a Key Activist, Key Black Extremists, mop runctionary and/cr key Figure.

8: All materials from August 28, 1963 to December 31, 1964 which pertain to the distribution by the United States Information Agency of a film of the march on Washington, including such materials as concern or reflect contacts or correspondence between the FBI and the USIA or !.jetween the FBI and the White House concerning such film.
9. SAC Letter No. 59-2, dated 1/13/59, including the Security letter ateached thereto pertaining to Racial Matters.
10. The Manual changes referred to in the Racial Matters section of the Security letter identified in Itam 9 above.
11. Memorandum from Mr. Rosen to the Director, dated 1/7/59 captioned "Racial Matters" that is referenced in the proposed letter to all SAC/s which was typed on $1 / 7 / 59$ and which became the Racial ilatters section of Item 9 above.
12. Memorandum dated February il, 1960, from W. B. Wetto to . A. Rosen, captioned "Racial Matters."
13. لAirtel to all offices dated February $12,1960^{\circ}$, captioned
14. Memorandum dated June 26,1963 , from Mr. Rosen to Mr. Belmont, captioned "Racial Matters."
15. Airtel datéd June 27, 1963 from the Director to all continental offices, captioned "Racial Matters."
16. Memorandum dated January 23, 1959, from Mr: Rosen to the Director, captioned "Bombing Matters or Racial Matters (New Classification)."
17. SAC letter sent on $1 / 28 / 59$ which was attached to the memorandun identified in Item 16 above.
18. All versions of Section 122 of the Manual of Instructions which were.in effect frori 1955 through 1965.
29. All materials reflecting correspondence, contacts, or comarmanications, or proposed correspondence contacts, or communications, between $F$ BI persomel and:
A. Samuel Riley Pierce, Jr.
B. Dr. Frank R. Barnett:
(See memorandum from W. C. Sullivan to A. H. Belmont, dated January 8, 1964, captione! "Samuel Riley Pierce, Jr., 280 fark Avenue, New York 17, N.Y.")
20. All materials reflecting correspondence between any FBI headquarters personnel and former Chief of Police Jenkins, Atlanta, Georgia, concerning or pertaining to Martin Luther King, Jr., and/or the Southern Christian Leadership Conference from January l, 1960 through April 1968.
21. All materials pertaining to (a) the nomination, and (b) the selection, of Martin Luther King, Jr., for the Nobel Prize.

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535
Senate Select Commiteee


Caption of Document: RE SSC Request 9/29/75 pertaining to King. Response to Item 6


Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREINIGUNGLASSIFIED



## herorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC letter 9/29/75, item 6
6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter $U, C, S, T S$ or Codeword)

## U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

> Information handiling Intelifgence coliection
8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Materials relating to Martin L. King, Jr.: our file did not contain any specific instructions prior to 10/23/62, with respect to developing any mormation concerning the Southern Christian Leadership Conference relatiag to racial matters with the possible exception of one document which was furnished.

62-116395
EMK: fmk
(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMNNITY INDEX IN CONLECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75


- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative. information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.


Enclosed is the original of a memorandum reporting the results of an interview conducted of former EBI Special Agent James F. Bland by SSC Staff Members. A copy of the memorandum is also enclosed for forwarding to lr. James A. Vilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (2)
62-116395

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { - The Deputy Attorney General } \\
& \text { Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. } \\
& \text { Special Counsel for } \\
& \text { Intelligence Coordination }
\end{aligned}
$$

1-67- (Personnel file former SA James F. Bland)
 $\underset{(10)}{\text { SFP:mjgny }}$
$\qquad$
Comp. Syst.
Ext. Affairs -
Files \& Com. -
Gen. Inv
Ident.
Inspection ____ Intell.
Laboratory __
ALL INFORMATION CONTANED HEREIN ISUNCLASSIFIEO
DATE $10 / 24,02$ BY SPRALMleli


1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mint
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 -Mr. W. O. Cregar
November 5, 1975
1-Mr. S. Ft Phillips

##  TO STUDY GOVRRNENTAL OPERATIONS VITH RESPEGE TO INTALETGENGE ACTIV至TES (SSC)

ALLINFORMATIONCONTANED RE: IWTLRVIET OE FORMER TET
 SPECIAL AGENT (SA) JAKES T. BLAND BY SEC STANE MEmBERS

The Following concerns an interview of comer FBI SA James F. Blind by SSC Staff members.

On Soptentur 3, 1975, M1 and telephonically contacted the Legal Counsel Division of the Rbi to anise that he had been contacted by Martha Talley, SSC Staff Member, and was requested to make himself available for a Staff interview conceming the history of he Security Index and the mi's melationsinip with the Department of Justice concerning this matter. Talley advised Dread that the SSC Staff had been given a detailed briefing conceming this aster by FBI Assistant Director W. Raymond Thmanil of tho Intelligence Division.

Bland vas advised by the legal Counsel Division that he hat a tight to counsel during tho interview; horevex, the Bureau could not provide him with private compel. He vas informed that there were certain areas to when he may proper ty refuse to mswew questions. Those areas concerned:


Assoc. Dir. -
Dep. AD Adm. -
Dep. AD Inv. -
Bland was subsequent iv released from his confidentiality Dep. AD inv. - agreement with the mat for the purpose of the intervatem. Asst. Dir.:

Comp. Syst. - ORIGINAT AND ONE COPY TO AG
Ext. Affairs -
Files \& Com.
Gen. Inv. $\qquad$ 1-67-
(Personnel file former SA James F. Bland)
inspection Intell.
Laboratory
Plan. \& Evil. -
Spec. Inv.
Training Legal Count
Telephone Rm. -
Director Sec'y
SFP:mjg nigh


U. S. Senate Select Comaittee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)
Re: Interview of Former FBI Special Agent (SA) James F. Bland by SSC Staff Members

On September 18, 1975, SSC Staff Member Michael Epstein requested of the Legal Counsel Division that Bland be relcased from his employment agreement with the FBI for a Staff interview which was to be on the topics of the FBI's. investigations of Martin Luther King, Jr.", Stanley David Levison, Southern Christian Leadership Conference and Communist Influence in the Racial Movement. Based on the foregoing, Bland was subsequently further released from his employment agreement for the anticipated interview covering these additional subject matters.

There follows a statement of Bland voluntarily furnished to the FBI reporting the results of the interview of him.

Interview began approximately 2:00 p.m. and lasted until 4:00 p.m., October 13, 1975. Interview conducted by John Elifff - head of the Domestic Intelligence Task force and Martha Talley - attorney on the Research Staff. I was asked to sign and did sign a waiver of rights. Asked me my Bureau background, times of assignments and positions.
"Interview concerned Security Indices - primarily the history of Security Index and related matters - Commaist Index - Reserve Index - Sections A and B - Detcom and Comsab tabbing etc.
"General information of the mechanics of operation of the Index was furnished within my knowledge: That based on substantive subversive information an investigation was conducted evaluated on a number of levels both in the field and at headquarters and periodically evaluated thereafter; the Department of Justice knowledge - approval - and review; the fact that the Index was an administrative device to efficiently handle matters relating to persons who could be potentially dangerous to the security interests of the $U$. S, in the event of an emergency.

> U. S. Senate Select Conmittee to Study Governmental Operations With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)
> Re: Interview of Former FBI Special Agent (SA) James F. Bland by SSC Staff Members
"In addition it was clearly pointed out that the facts set forth in the investigative reports stood for themselves - contained no opinion or suppositions and that the fact a person was included on the Index was for administrative uses within the Bureau and the Department of Justice.
"A Committee representative, Martha Talley, had previously indicated a desire to interview me, in addition to the above, concerning the investigation of Martin Luther King, Cominfil of the Civil Rights Movement, SCLC matter, and Stanley Levison. At the conclusion of the interview she advised that she had spoken to another representative of the Comittce and they did not desire to talk to me regarding these matters at this time."

NOTE:
Legal Counsel Division's contacts mentioned herein were through Supervisor P. V. Daly. Bland's handwritten statement was mailed to the Bureau and has been typed in the form as contained in the LHM.

| TO: Inte <br> ATTN: Cent | ence Co Imdex | uni | ty Staff | FROM | BI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2. DATE PROVIDED } \\ 11 / 5 / 75 \end{gathered}$ |
| DOCument | briefing | \% | interview | testimony | \|other |  |

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

The Attorney General with a copy for forwarding to the White House

HSC
4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum reporting the results of an interviev by SSC Stafe Members of former Special Agent James F. Bland
5. IN RESPONSE TO (List date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

2 14 INFORMATION (enter $U, C, S, T S$ or Codeword)

## U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Information handing Operating procedures

ALLINFORMATION CONTAINED

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Interviewed regarding history of Security Inder also Martin Luther King, Jr, and Stahley D. Levison, Southom Christian Leadership Conference and Comminist Influence in the Racial Hoversent.

62-116395
FiR: fimk
(4)
original via liaison to Central Comanity Index in connection with SENGIUDY 75


- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.


Enclosed is the original of a memorandum reporting the results of an interview of former FBI Section Chief Arthur J. Baker by an SSC Staff Member. A copy of the memorandum is also enclosed for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

## Enclosures (2)

62-116395
1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael
Special Shaken, Jr.
Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1-67- (Personnel file former SA Arthur J. Baker)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { = Mr. J. B. Adams } \\
& 1 \text { - Mr. J. A. Mint } \\
& \text { 1 - Mr. J. Cochran } \\
& \text { - Mr. W. R. Wannall }
\end{aligned}
$$

November 6: 1975
1 -Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips
U. S. SENATE SELECT COMATE

TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
mini Respect to intelligence ActIvities (SSC)
ALL INFORMATIONCONTANED RE: THTERNIEL OF FORMER ABL HEREINSYNGLASSIFIED
DATE $O$ L居 BY AN SEC STAFF MEMBER

The following concerns an interview by an SSC Staff Member of former FBI Section Chief Arthur J. Baker,

On October 6, 1975, Baker contacted the Legal Counsel Division of this Bureau and advised that he had been contacted by Mary Deoreo of the SSC who requested him to appear for a Staff interview on October 9, 1975, concerning his knowledge of the FBI's investigations of Martin Luther King, Jr., and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Baker was released from his confidentiality agreement with the FBI for the purpose of the interview within the scope of the above-indicated subject matters.

On October 8, 1975, Baker was advised by the Legal Counsel Division of his release from the Bureau's confidentiality agreement and was also told that there were four privileged areas concerning which he did not have to answer questions during interview. These areas concerned: (1) information which might reveal the identities of confidential sources; (2) information concerning ongoing investigations, (3) informalton revealing : sensitive methods and techniques, and (4) information about or from third agencies, including foreign intelligence agencies.

Assoc. Dir.
Dep. AD Adm. -
Dep. AD Inv. -
Asst. Dir.:
Admin.
Comp. Syst. -
Ext. Affairs Files \& Com. -
Gen. Inv. Indent. Inspection, Intell.
Laboratory ...
Plan. \& Eval. -
Spec. Inv. Training Legal Coun.
Telephone Rm. Director Secy -

Subsequent to the interview, Baker voluntarily furnished a written statement summarizing very briefly the results of the interview, which statement follows:
(Personnel file former SA Arthur J. Baker) SFP:migmys


MAIL ROOM

SEE NOTE PAGE FOUR

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)
Re: Interview of Former FBI Section Chief
Arthur J. Baker by an SSC Staff Member


#### Abstract

"For information there is set forth below my recollections of a staff interview with the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence.


'I reported to the Senate Office Building at 9:30 a.m. on October 9, 1975 and was interviewed by Mary Deoreo. The interview was concluded about 10:40 a.m.
"Her questions were mostly general in nature about my work in the Laboratory. Her particular interest seemed to center around the Martin Luther King, Jr., case with special attention on the technical surveillances used. Apparentiy the reason I was called was that my name appeared on several memoranda covering technical discussions between Domestic Intelligence Division and Laboratory personnel indicating that the memoranda had been routed through me.
"Her main concern seemed to be if I had any knowledge concerning transcriptions or composites of any technical surveillance tapes being made in the Laboratory. I had no recollection of any such tapes being made and so informed her."

On October 14, 1975, Baker voluntarily furnished the following additional information which, in more detail, reports on the interview of him.

The interview was not under oath and no statement was made to Baker concerning his rights.

At the request of the interviewex, Baker furnished general background information conceming himself in respect to his FBI career. He entered on duty on August 12, 1940, in the Identification Division. On December 16, 1941, he was transferred to the Laboratory. He became a Special Agent on May 20, 1946, still assigned to the Laboratory. In June, 1955,
U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Govemmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)
Re: Interview of Former FBI Section Chief Arthur J. Baker by an SSC Staff Member

Baker was asked if it was possible for anyone else to malke tapes and DeOreo mentioned the names of John Matter and Charles Corbett, Baker's response was that anything was possible, but that it was not likely; and that he had no recol. lection of these people making tapes.

Baker was next questioned concerning security procedures in the Laboratory and the possibility of someone working there at night. Specifically, he was asked if someone worked at night, whether there would be any record of it. His response was that he doubted it. During considerable discussion on the subject of securlty in the Laboratory, Baker explained that security was tight because of the large volume of evidence always on hand in the Laboratory.

## NOTE:

10/6/75 contact with Baker was by Supervisor P. V. Daly of the Legal Counsel Division. 10/8/75 contact with him was by Inspector J. B. Hotis of the Legal Counsel Division. Debriefing of Baker was by Supervisor S. F. Phillips of the Senstudy 75 Project.

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Interviewed regarding his knowledge of the Matin Lather King, Jr. case with specinl attention on the technical surveillances used and as to his knowledge of any copies or composite tapes being made and sent to liss. King.

62-116395
FMK: fmk
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- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.
$1-\mathrm{Mr}$ J. B. Adams
$1=\mathrm{Mr} \cdot \mathrm{J} \cdot$ A. Mintz
$1=\mathrm{Mr} \cdot$ W. R. Wannall
$1=\mathrm{Mr} \cdot$ W. O. Cregar
$1=\mathrm{Mr} \cdot$ S. F. Phillips

# 3. S. SEMATE SELECS COWITHEL TO STUNL GOVERNEMHAZ ORERATIONS WTH  

RE: THERVIET OR FOMER TBL SPECRAL
 SSC STAFF MLMBMS


The following concerns an intervich of cowner IBI SA Henry C. Ronse by SSC Staif Lembers.

In June, 1975, the FBI learned fron the SSO that the latter was considering intervievs of a muber of present and former FBI employees, including Towse. Rotrse was contacted by the EBI and told that, in the event he was interviowed, he would not be required to answer questions falling within the following four areas: (1) information which might identify confidential sources, (2) information which might reveal sensitive methois or techniques, (3) information from other Goverment agencies, incluinns foreign intelilgence agencies, and (4) information pertaining to ongoing investigations. Kozse was also cold that, if contacted by the SSC for intervier, he could call collect the phe's Legal Councol Aviston for fall informetion to assist him, including concerning his obligations as to confidentiality of information acquired as an mitemployee.

Without Eixst obtainit fron the DET a waiver as Ast. Din: to hiss conifidentiality agreement, Nowse subnited to incervient Admin. Syst. by the SSC Staff and then voluntarily fumadined the follonizg

Gen. hnv. $\qquad$ 1-67. (Personnel File Former SA Henry G. Rowse) Inspection Inspect $\qquad$ SFP:14b ${ }^{\mid h}{ }^{(2)}$ Laboratory Plan. \& Eval. Spec. Inv. Training Legal Coun.
Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

$\qquad$
$\square$
 and haneoun Evide $\square$
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TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index."

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available
for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

## tanomatum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

## SSO Letter 10/15/75 fow access 8/26/75, Part II, Iten $L_{\text {. }}$ for delluvery

6. CLASSIFICATION OF information (enter $U, C, S, T S$ or Codeword)

## U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

## Information handing <br> Fntelligume collection

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)
 [ateriols pertaindig to varions somen's groups* Women's Hational

 Fentagon, Women's Dail Fund, Whe Jeanmette Rankin Brigade.

62-116395
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ORIGINAL VIA EIAISON TO CEMNRAL COMMUITTY ITDEX,
IN CONECTION WITA SENSTUDY 75


- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.


November 5， 1975

## Director，PBI

U．S．SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON WTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES（SSE）

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINISUHCLASSIFIED
DATE 1 O LM
SO

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum reporting the results of an interview of former FBI Special Agent堒ency G．Rows by SSC Staff Members．Also enclosed is a copy of the memorandum for forwarding to Mr．James A．Wildesotter， Associate Counsel to the President．

Enclosures（2）
62－116395


1－The Deputy Attorney General Attention：Michael E．Shaken，Jr． Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
1－67～（Personnel File Former SA Henry G．Rows）
SFP：1hblhb
（10）


Assoc．Dir．
Dep．AD Adm．－



TO: Intelligence Community Staff ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

## FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees


Memorandum reporting the results of an interview by SSC Staff Members of former Special Agent Henry G. Rowe.
5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

WA
6. CLASSIFICATION OF information (enter $U, C, S, T S$ or Codeword)

U
7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Counterintelligence Information handling Operating procedures
8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Interviewed regarding Martin L. King, Jr.

## ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

 HEREINIS UNcLASSIFIED$62-116395$ FMK: fill DATE 10.2400 BY $2 p$ ALAN UV

(4) ORIGINAL VIA Liaison to central community IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75


## INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

U. S. SHIpMATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTHMTIGONCE ACTIVITIES (SC)

Reference is made to SSC letter dated October 15, 1975, requesting: 1) Delivery of those FBI documents made available to the SSC for review pursuant to the SSC request of August 26, 1975, Part II, I (documents relating to FB . activity with respect to various women's groups); and 2) access to all materials pertaining to FBI activity with respect to the following:
a. Nomen's National Abortion Action Coalition.
b. Furies.
c. -Radical Lesbians.
d. The Committee to Defend the Right to Live.
e. Women's National Inarch on the Pentagon.
f. Women's Bail Fund.
g. The Jeannette Rankin Brigade.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the SSC is the original of a memorandum which constitutes the Bureau's response to the above request.

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records. Enclosures (2)

62-116395
1 - The Deputy Attorney General


Assoc. Dir.
Dep. AD Adm. -
Dep. AD Inv. -
Asst. Dir.:
Admin.
Comp. Syst. _-
Ext. Affairs -
Files \& Com. -
G
Id
In §


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2-Mr. J. A. Mint } \\
& \text { (I - Mr. J. B. Hots) } \\
& \text { 1-Mr. W. R. annal } \\
& \text { 1-Mr. W. O. Cregar } \\
& \text { 1-Mr. L. E. Dean }
\end{aligned}
$$

November 5, 1975

> UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SC)

Reference is made to the SSC letter dated October 15, 1975, requesting:

1. Delivery of those FBI documents made available to the SSC pursuant to the SSC request of August 26, 1975, Part II, I (documents relating to FBI activity with respect to various women's groups).
2. Access to all material pertaining to FBI activity with respect to the following:
a. Women's National Abortion Action Coalition.
b. Furies.
c. Radical Lesbians.
d. The Committee to Defend the Right to Live.
e. Women's National March on the Pentagon.
f. Women's Bail Fund
g. The Jeannette Rankin Brigade.

With regard to request number one above, copies of all documents made available to the SSC for review parsuant to the SSC request on August 26, 1975, relating to FBI activity with respect to various women's groups, are being delivered with this memorandum.

All materials requested for access in request number two above are available for review with the exception of materials pertaining to the Radical Lesbians.

Assoc. Dir. Dep. AD Adm. Dep. AD Inv. Asst. Dir.:

Admin.
Comp. Syst.
Ext. Affairs -
Files \& Com. -
Gen. Inv.
Ident.
Inspection
lIntel.
Laboratory
Plan. \& Eval. -
Spec. Inv.
Training
Legal Coun.
Telephone Rm. - A review of FBI Headquarters files fails to reveal any FBI activity with respect to the Radical Lesbians.
1 - The Attorney General

```
LED: ba, \(i=2\)
```

(8)

ORIGINAL OF MEMORANDUM FURNISHED THE ATTORNEY GENERAL


5-140.(Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535
Addressee: SENATE SELTCT COMMTTTE
 Caption of Document: ACTIVITIES re 10/15/75 request loris delivery of documents available pursuant. to $8 / 26 / 75$ req. Part II, $I$ and Access to material pertaining to 7 women's groups.


Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREINISUHCLASSIFIED
DATE ID 24 O2 BYGPALMlel

1-Mr. J. B. Adans 2-Mr.J. A. Mintz (1-Mr. J. B. Hotis) 1-Mr. W. R. Wannall 1-Mr. W. O. Cregar 1-Mr. A. J. Duffin Woventor 5, 1975
U. S. SERATE SELHCT CORTHTTHE TO SHODY GOVHWMENTAL OPETATKONS






 1975, which requested aceess or cieluvery or uaterials, many of which mo muphlementary to prion SSC reamests of July 28,


On octobew 29, 1975, Wr. Easter Seldow, SSC Stafi membex, requested celvery of materials relating to the sollowing categonies ar 3SC roquest at meptember 18, 1975:

3. C. EObby Eatex Envestitation



1-The Attorney General

Dep. AD Adm. -
Dep. AD Inv. Asst. Dir.:
Admin.
Comp. Syst.
Ext. Affairs -
Files \& Com. -
Gen. Inv. .-. -
Ident.
Inspection ___
Intell.
Loboratory
Plan. \& Eval. -
Spec. Inv.
Training
Legal Coun.
Telephone Rm. -
Director Sec'y
$\underset{(9)}{\text { JRM: jvI }}$

NOTE:

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG


Material per request of Mr. L. Seidel, SSC Staff Member, on 10/29/75, is ready for delivery. The passage of these items to SSC has been cleared with appropriate personnel of the General Investigative, Division.


Evidumunn

$$
3 i 5
$$

$$
3
$$

$$
55=1159
$$



## Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC letter 9/18/75 Parts 2 and Sc, supplementary to $7 / 28 / 75$ Part Sd and 8/20/75, Part 3, la
6. CLASSIFICATION OF information (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U
7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Information handing
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 1019100 BY<02

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Files on Warren Compassion Critics and Bobby Baker investigation.

62-116395
FMK: Irk
(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75

$$
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## INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.


SUBJECT: BOBBY BAKER case;
Refusal of FBI to honor
Departmental request for usage of recording device in Los Angeles; Request For Name Check By President

## BACKGROUND:

そ2. - The President, through his Special Assistant, Marvin Watson, has requested a name check concerning the following individuals who apparently were involved in the joint decision by the Department and the Narcotics Bureau to utilize a recording device in the Baker Case:

1. Fred Dick, Narcotics Bureau
2. Robert E. Jordan III, Treasury Department
3. George Gaffney, Narcoties Bureau
4. Jack Miller, former Assistant AG, Criminal Division, Department of Justice
5. Fred B. Smith, Narcotics Bureau

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINISUNELASSIFIED


According to Watson, the President has specifically instructed that he wants this matter handled as discreetly as possible, that no record be made of his request, and that the results should show whether any of the above individuals were close to former Attorney General Robert.F. Kennedy.

## INFORMATION IN BUFILES:

All references and main files to the above individuals
have been reviewed. Attached are separate blind memoranda concernificine each of the above individuals. It is noted that with the exception of Enclosures
1 - Mr. DeLoach - Enclosures (5) OrNSTRE 1-Mr. Wick-Enclosures (5)


1-Mr. Sullivan - Enclosures (5) ERCLOSURE
This document is prepared in response to your request alid is not for dissembi. nation: ontsito your Committee. "Its use is limited to official proceedings by

JHC:djr $C$, nel without the express approval of the MRI.
M. A. Jones to Wick Memo

RE: BOBBY: BAKXR case
former Assistant Attorney General Herbert J. Miller, Jr., our file review and our. Liaison representative with the Narcotics Bureau, developed no information indicating association of these individuals with Robert F. Kennedy.

RECOMMENDATION:
That the attached blind memoranda be furnished by liaison to the White House for the President.


Fred Dick is currently the Regional Supervisor of the San Fracisco, California, Office of the Larcotics Bureau, having been assigned to this position since approximately Anril, 10.55.

- Frior to this assigmment, Mir. Dick was the Fegional Gupervisor in charge of the Los Anfeles Office of the Nascotics Bureau, and held that position at the time the Wayne G. Eromley incident took place in March, 1865.
2
The Los Angeles and San Francisco Cfifices of the Tinr have noted that they were not aware of any information of a derogatory nature concerning wr. Dick, and could furnish no information relative
* to any association between Mr. Dick and former Altorney Ceneral Robert F. Fiamecty. It has been determined that no background-type investigation was conducsed by the FBr in connection with in. Dick's employment with the Federal Govermmert.


ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREINISUNGLASSIFIED
DAEE LIGLID BYSPZALMled,

FRED DICK

January 19, 1967

FOBERT EMIJAIT JODAN
ALL INFORMATIONCONTAINED
HEREINIS YNCLASSIFIFD
DATE D 19 OO SYY
Jordan is Staff Assistant to David C. Acheson, Special Assistant to the Secretary ( ${ }^{\prime}$ or Enforcement), United States Treasury Department. ine is a former Assistant United States Attorney for the District of Columbia when Acheson served as United States Ettorney for the District.

Born at South Boston, Virginia, on June 20, 1936, fordan was raised and educated in that community before receiving
 of Tecinology and Iarvard Law School, both at Cambridge, wassachur etis. Before accenting the position oivassistant United States fttorney ior tire

- District of Columbia, in 1903, Jordan lived and was employed in the Boston, irassachusetts, area.

It is understood that Jordan was the subject of an appliant-type investigation conducted by the FDI in 1363 when he . applied for the position of Assistant United States Attorney. This investigation was favorable except for thiormation that his first marriage was a "shotgun wedding" which led to divorce shortly aiter a chiid was
$\Rightarrow$ born of this union.
There is no known association between Jordan and former Attorney General Robert iP. Enennedy.

$\therefore$ :
NOTE: See M. A. Jones to Wick memo dated 1-19-67, captioned "Bobby Baker Case; Refusal of FBI to honor Departmental request for usage of recording device in Los Angeles; Request For Name Check By President. "

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemi-
 your Comm.'., and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personMAIL ROom $\square$ nel without the express approval of the $\$ B I$.

## GEOEGEH. GAFYNRY

DEPUTY COMMESSIONER, BURDAU OF NAADCOTICS TREASURY DPPAPTMENT, WASITINGTON, D. C.

Captioned individual is a long-time career employee of the Bureau of Narcotics who has risen through the ranks. He graduated from the United States Naval Academy in 1045 . It is understood he will shortly approach his 2 范故 year in combined Federal service: -No background-type investigation concerning his Federal employment has been conducted by the FBI.

There is no known association between Mr. Gafiney and former Attorney General Lobert \%ennedy. However, it is known that he has participated in high-level conferences with him dealing with mutual pooblems in the organized crime field. As executive Assistant to the Commissiofier, Bureau of Marcotics, Caifney attended a conference of high-level Government officials with Attorney General Robert Tennedy at the United States Court House, Foley Equare, New Yorix City, on warch 20, 1963. Gaffiney has also been a member of the Committee on Organized Crime, International Association of Chiefs of Police. He participated in the preparation of a reportiby that Committee which was printed in October, 1964. Gafiney's contribution was a creditable account of La Cosa Nostra's participation in inarcotics traffic.

In connection with the recent disclosure in the newspaper dealing with the Bureau of Narcoties involvement in the monitoring incident regarding Wayne G. Bromley on Miarch 26, 1965 , it is understood that Gaifney is greatly charrined at his Bureau's involvement in the incident. He explained that if the Burean of Narcotics had any knowleage that the FBI had originally turned down the Justice Department's request for its assistance in the monitoring incident on Warch 26 , 1565, the Bureau of Narcotics would have had nothing to do with the affair. Fe implied that the Bureau of Narcotics was "sucked in" by the Justice Department in complying with their request which at the time apeared reasonable and legal, and their acquiescence to the request. was based strictly on their desire to cooperate with another agency.

NOTE: See M. A. Jones to Wick memo dated 1-19-67, captioned "Bobby Baker case; Refusal of FBI to honor Departmental request for usage of recording device in Los Angeles; Request For Name Check By President. "
 that Herbert Join Miller, Jr., was born in Minneapolis, Linnesota, on Jauuary 11, 1924. He received the A. A. degree at dhe George Fashington University in 1347 and the HL. E. degree there in 194. He was admitted to the Bistrict of Columbia Bar in 1943 and practiced law in Tastington, D. C., from 1949 to 1001 . In 1959-60, he served as attorney for the court-appointed Eoard of Dionitors for the International Erotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffens, Warehousemen and helpers.

Mr. Miller was appointed Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Criminal Division of the Department of Juetice in 1961. Fe served in this capacity under Attorney General Robert F. Eennedy, as well as for a period under Nicholas Katzenbach, before resigning to return to the private practice of law in the District of Columbla in 1900. in. Anils, is a partner in the washington law firm of willer, fecarthy, dvans and Cassidy-which firm has registered with the Department of fustice unies the Fiegistration Act to furnish legal services to the government of the Bahamas, Nassau, Eahamas. in acdition, Ir. Niller has served as Chaiman of the President's Commission on Crime in the District of Columbia.

One of Mr. Miller's law partners is Courtney A. Evans, a former Assistant Director of the FEI. Mr. Evans entered the FEI in December, 1940, and retired in December, 1964, From 1031 through 1954, he handled liaison with the office of the Attorney General and had numerous oficial and social contacts with inobert F. Eennedy. Mir, Eyans' close relationship with hobert Kennedy continued following his retirement from the FEI.

During Howert Kennedy's tenure as Attorney General, Nir. Etvans had a number of discussions with him concerning the use of microphones by the Finl; and IMr. Evans personally prepared oilicial memoranda for FBI filles reflecting not only hobert Kennedy's knowledge, but his enthusiastic approval, of the FERIS use of microphone surveillances in criminal-type, as well as security-type, investigations. In a meeting with two FiEI officials at the Bureau's headquarters on December 24, 1065, Mr. Evans discussed contacts he had had with, and information which had been furnished to, EDobert iEennedy and other Justice Departmental ofificials who had served under Robert Fennedy concerning the use of the microphone surveillances by the Fil. Fonctieless soon afterthis meeting, isr. ivans furnished hobert ǐennedy a letter Quel Baker case; Refusal of FBI to honor Departmental request for: usage of recording device in Los Angeles; Request For Name mall moom teletyee unit $\square$ Check By President."
denying that he had taliced with him, or had given written material to Robert Kennedy, about the FBI's use of microphones.

On December 10, 1966, in connection with the public denials that Fobert isennedy knew about the FBI's use of microphones while he was Attorney Ceneral, Robert Xennedy released to the press the letter which fro. Evans had written him. The letter was dated February 17, 1906. In it, lia. Dvans ialsely statod that he "did not discuss the use of" microphones by the FBI with sobert Kennedy during his tenure as Attorney General--2nd further that Mr. Ryans did not "hnow of any written material that was sent" to Robert Tennedy "at any time concerning" TEI microphone surveillances.

On December 11, 1968, the FBI released to the press two memoranda which had personally been prepared by Bir. Hvans in the Summer of 1961 relating to discussions he had had with the then Attorney General Kemedy concerning use of microphons surveillances By the ciBL. Reportedly, the release of these memoranda (which prove the statements in the letter released to the press by Robert Kennedy on December 10, 1050 , to be false) caused a rift between the se two men: and Robert rennedy, it is said, no longer speaks to Mr. Evans.

Another document which was widely publicized in December, 1960, in connaction with Fiojert Sennedy's denial that he lanev of the TBI's use of microphones was a letter which the then Assistant Attorney General Ferbert Biller wrote to Senator Sam J. . Ervin, Jr., on Y/ay 25, 1031. In this letter, 街. Hiller indicated knowledge of the BSI's use of 78 wiretaps and 07 other electronic listening devices.

The December 11, 1936, issue of "The Evening Star" of Washington, D. C., contained an article. citing the letter which Herbert Hiller had writien to Senator irvin on way 25, 1951. The December 11, 1905, newspaper article stated that "Miller himself pointed out that the letter cid not mention illegal eavesdrops--the kind involving physical trespass on private property." It then quoted Miller as commenting, "I sincerely doubt that anybody in the Department lanew that there was any trespass in placing, of these devices. I did not know and I wager that the Attorney General did not know there was trespass."
(Regarding Frerbert Miller's above-cited statement of denial, it should be noted that an oficial memorandum was sent to the ."
then Deputy Attorney General, Byron R. White, by the FBI on May 4, 1961--three weeks before ins. Miller wrote his letter to Senator girvin." The memosandum had been prepared in connection with the contemplated appearance of Attorney General Kennedy before a committee of the United States Senate. It dealt with the authority underlying the Fibl's use of microphone surveillances and said, ".... in the internal security field, we are utilizing microphone surveillances on a restricted basis even though trespass is necessary to assist in uncovering the activities of Boviet intelligence agents and Communist Party leaders. In the interests of national safety, microphone surveillances are also utilized on a restricted basis, even though trespass is necessary, in uncovering major criminal activities." Thus, there is no question that the Justice Department was notified of the F'EI's use of microphones involving trespass early in Robert ísennedy's administration as Attorney General.)

In February, 1051, at the request of the then Deputy Attorney General, Byron R. White, the FBI conducted an investigation - of Herbert iviller in connection with the position of Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Criminal Division. The investigetion was favorable. It did reflect one trafifc citation for passing a red light in Maryiand in October, 1060 , which resulted in Mr. Miller's paying a fine:

Mr. Miller's nomination as Assistant Attorney General was confirmed by the Senate on March 2, 1081. In commenting upon Mir. Miller's nomination, the 'Chicago Sun-Times" editorialized on February ${ }^{2} 5,1061$, "o. . it may seem strange that the Kennedy adminis. tration, which has been enthusiastically putting worthy Democrats to wor's since January 20, would tap a member of the GOP for the post.... We suspect that, among others, Teamster Czar Jimmy Hofia will get the message. Since July, 1969, 液道er has been chief counsel for the board of monitors which was created by the U. S. District Court to iceep Foffa's operation of the International Brothernood of Teamsters under surveil. lance." (A profile-type feature article concerning Ferbert iailler in the December 13, 1908, issue of "The New Yors Times" credited him with haying "directed the fiofia case through four indictments, tirree trials and four appeals" in his role as Assistant Attorney General. It stated that MIr. iniller "caught inir. Kennedy's attention" when "Sir. Keunedy was counsel for the sienate committee investigating the teamsters (and) Mr. Binller was the lawyer for the court-appointed board of monitors supervising the union's affairs." This article also commented upon the fact that ivir. viiller was a irepublican at the time of his appointment in 1961.)

Gn May 11, 1061, Assibtant Attorney General Miller testilice before the Subcommittee on Constitutional Fights of the Benate Judiciary Committee and expressed himseli as favoring the passage of legislation to authorime limited and controlled interception and disclosure 'of telephone eonversations. :

By memorandum dated March 25, 1065, Herbert Miller requested the $I E I$ to monitor and tape-record a proposed meeting of Robert Eaker, Wayne Eromley (iormer associate of and Government witness against Robert Baker) and Ciliford Jones (former Ldeutenant Governor of Nevada) to be hold in Los Angeles on the evening of March $26_{6}$. 1305. Herbert ifiller's memorndum requested that this be accomplished by attaching a transmitting device to the person of Bromley. The Fibl refused to honor this request.

In December, 1004, Herbert Miller was said by the Department of Justice to be a candidate for appointment as Judue of the United states Circuit Court for the District of Columbia Circuit. In Apryn; 1905, he, ceased duty as Assistant Attorney General.

On July 12, 10G5, Nicholas Katzenbach, wio then was Attorney General, advised the Fit that he (Eaizenoach) had suggested to the President that Herbert ailler be designated to serve as Cuaiman of the Preidient's Commission on Crime in the District of Columbia. Nicholas inatenionch stated that the President reacted favorably to lis suggestion. On July 16, 1085 , Herbart Miller's appointment to sarve as Chairman of the Commission on Crime was formally announced.

## FRED BUFFON SMITH

Smith is a career civil servant with the Treasury Department where he now serves as General Counsel. Born on January 27, 1015, at Syracuse, Nomwom; whationtensod
 University, Eyracuse, New York, where he received A. B. and LL. E. degrees, respectively. Before commencing employment - with the Department of the Treasury in February, 1013, he was employed for three years with the Syracuse, New York, law firm of Hancock, Dory, Ilyan and Shove.

It is understood that Smith was the subject of a backgrounai-type investigation conducted by the FBI in 1885 prior to his appointment as General Counsel, Treasury Department. A summary of this investigation,"a copy of which is attached, was furnished to the Finite House in March, 1906. (161-4362)

There is no known association between Smith and . former Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy.

Enclosure

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED 'HEREINISUNCLASSIFIED


NOTE: See M. A. Jones to Wick memo dated 1-19-67, captioned, "Bobby Baker case; Refusal of FBI to honor Departmental request for usage of
 recording device in Los Angeles; Request For Name Check By President."


This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemiration outside 2 mar Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Conmit'se and the content may not be disclosed to unaitliorized personincl without the express approval of the FBI :
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Attached are the questions asked and answers given during captioned interview, to the best of Mr. Smith's recollection. It should be noted that the questions are not necessarily in the order asked and some names are phonetically spelled.
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On $10 / 23 / 75$, I was interviewed by two members of the Senate Select Committee, Mrs. Mary DeOreo and Mr. Mark Gitenstein, at the Dirksen Building in the office of the Senate Select Committee. The interview began at 10:30 a.m. and ended at Noon. I was not advised of anything pertaining to my rights.

The following are questions asked and the answers I gave, to the best of my recollection:

QUESTION: When you went to the platform that morning - was it the day after Mr. Hoover died, or two days later?

ANSWER: I can't remember.
QUESTION: On that particular morning in question, what did you do?
ANSWER: I went out to the platform and I found the truck sitting funny and I wasn't sure if I had a flat tire or what. I checked the rear tires, but found the tires were up so that I figured something was on the truck. I can't remember if the truck was locked or what, but when I opened the truck it was loaded with cabinets from front to back. I questioned my supervisor, Tony Codi, about the cabinets on the truck. To his knowledge he didn't know where they came from. He went around to the front office to check and was told that the cabinets were to go to Mr. Hoover's house.

QUESTION: Who gave Codi the message to take the cabinets out to Mr. Hoover's house?

ANSWER: It could have been Mr. Marsden or Mr. Gregory. I don't know which. Tony double checked to find out who was going out to Mr. Hoover's house after I questioned him if the moving crew was going. I was told there would be no one going, but there would be a car following the truck.

QUESTION: Did you recognize the car or did you see it?
ANSWER: I couldn't tell the car from any other car out there in the street.
QUESTION: Did the car beat you to the house?
ANSWER: I didn't know if the car beat me there or not because there were other cars parked there.

QUESTION: Where were the cabinets unloaded?
ANSWER: They were unloaded at the side gate.

ALL INFORMATICN CONTAINED HEREINISUNCLASSIFIED
DATE DISLO BVGAL


QUESTION: Who helped you to unload?
ANSWER: I didn't know the people.
QUESTION: Was anyone there that you recognized?
ANSWER: Yes. Miss Gandy.
QUESTION: Did you know Mr. Mohr, the SAC from Washington Field Office or Mr. Dunphy and were they there?

ANSWER: I wasn't sure of that day - I wasn't sure of Mohr or Dunphy.. . I didn't know the SAC from Washington Field Office.

QUESTION: Did you know anyone in Washington Field Office.
ANSWER: No.
QUESTION: Did the people who helped you move the cabinets - did you recognize any of them - were they Agents or what?

ANSWER: I didn't know.
QUESTION: Were they dressed in suits?
ANSWER: No.
QUESTION: Were the file cabinets full or what did they contain?
ANSWER: I didn't know. All I knew was that they were heavy, that they contained some type of file because while I was unloading one of the cabinets a drawer fell open and I almost fell off the truck. They were manila type, light in color.

QUESTION: Were they regular movers or what that helped you move the cabinets?
ANSWER: No, because they were inexperienced in moving cabinets because I had to show them everything.

QUESTION: Was this an unusual procedure?
ANSWER: Yes, but I didn't ask any questions.

QUESTION: Did the Bureau have their own crew?
ANSWER: Yes, or they generally had GSA come in, according to how big a move they had.

QUESTION: Were they all white or what?
ANSWER: I didn't see any Blacks - everyone was white.
QUESTION: What was the number of cabinets?
ANSWER: There were 15 or more, I can't remember.
QUESTION: How could all of them fit into his basement?
ANSWER: I don't know - I just can remember how the cabinets were arranged in there (the basement).

QUESTION: Were there other boxes and things there ?
ANSWER: Yes.
QUESTION: The second move - was it the day after the first move?
ANSWER: Yes, I think it was.
QUESTION: How many cabinets?
ANSWER: Not as many as the first.
QUESTION: Did you have help in moving the cabinets - were they the same people?
ANSWER: I did have help, but I couldn't say if they were the same people.
QUESTION: After unloading the cabinets, what did you do?
ANSWER: I reported back to the Justice Building.
QUESTION: How long did it take you to unload the cabinets?
ANSWER: Maybe an hour or two, I can't remember exactly.
QUESTION: Did you make any other previous trips out there?
ANSWER: Yes, Jesse Peterson and I took some cabinets or small safe files out.

QUESTION: At that time were any cabinets out there?
ANSWER: Yes.
QUESTION: How many?
ANSWER: I couldn't tell, but not as many as I took out there.
QUESTION: Do you know Annie Field, who was his maid?
ANSWER: Yes.
QUESTION: Do you know her well?
ANSWER: I know her well enough as a friend.
QUESTION: Was Annie's room in the basement?
ANSWER: Yes.
QUESTION: Would she have seen the cabinets?
ANSWER: I would think so.
QUESTION: (This would go back to the series of questions on the movers.)
Could anyone else drive the truck?
ANSWER: Yes.
QUESTION: Who could drive it?
ANSWER: Agents or anyone because the truck had been used for special assignments and it was no big thing for someone else to drive it.

QUESTION: Did you keep official records on the trips that you made with the truck?
ANSWER: Mileage was kept, the truck was signed out every morning and in at night, but there were no other records kept.

QUESTION: The day after Mr. Hoover died, Exhibits went up to move things out of his office - if the cabinets were up there, wouldn't Mr. Dunphy have seen them?

ANSWER: I don't know if the cabinets were up there or not - I couldn't answer that question.

QUESTION: How did you get along with Mr. Hoover?
ANSWER: O.K. I had a job to do.
QUESTION: How did you get along with Mr. Tolson?
ANSWER: O.K.
QUESTION: On that morning of Mr. Hoover's death, where were you and what did you know?

ANSWER: On that morning I had to pick up Mr. Tolson and I was told to go to Mr. Hoover's house and to wait until further orders. I waited until Mr. Mouten (ph.), Mr. Hoover's chauffeur, came out and told me to report back to the building. I questioned him as to what was going on, but he didn't tell me, he just said to report back to the building.

QUESTION: Did you know if Mr. Tolson knew he was dead or what?
ANSWER: I didn't know myself because I didn't find out he was dead until I got to the building.

QUESTION: Had you made any previous trips out to Mr. Hoover's house after his death?

ANSWER: Yes. I took some plants from the Botanical Garden to his house.
QUESTION: After Mr. Tolson's death, did you go out to the house to move any cabinets or anything?

ANSWER: No.
QUESTION: Why were you sent to Quantico?
ANSWER: The mechanic job came open - it was something I had worked at part-time and when the job came open I applied for it and I was transferred down there.

QUESTION: Were you drilled on anything?
ANSWER: No.
QUESTION: Have you talked to anyone concerning the cabinets?
ANSWER: Yes. I talked to Jesse Peterson because I was trying to make him remember moving cabinets when we had to set the safe files on the platform.

QUESTION: Why did they use you to drive? Did they trust you?
ANSWER: I don't know. I was just told to take them out there.
QUESTION: Were the cabinets moved out of Mr. Hoover's house at night?
ANSWER: I don't know whether they moved them in the day or night or what.
QUESTION: Do you think GSA could have moved the cabinets from his house that night?

ANSWER: I don't think so.
QUESTION: How did you know something was on the truck?
ANSWER: Just like you would come out the next morning and see something was wrong - I drove the truck each and every day and that's why I knew something was wrong.

QUESTION: How did you get along with Miss Gandy?
ANSWER: O.K. The only trouble I had was one time when I was told to report to her office to make a run out to the house to pick up some mail and I was told I had to take some other things out there and as we were walking along she kept asking the same question over and over again - did I mind going out to the house, and I told her no. When we got to the outer office there were about 15 to 20 cases of soda. I was told to get a handcart to load the sodas and to get a car. I told her if I had known that I had to take the sodas out I would have brought a small truck around. She told me, "In other words, you don't want to go out to the house." I told her I did not say that. I was told "You can report back downstairs" and I told her that was perfectly all right with me. I reported the incident to my supervisor, Mr. Gregory.

QUESTION: Did you see Miss Gandy anymore after that?
ANSWER: Yes, I had to take her to the doctor and the next time was out at Mr. Hoover's house.

## OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

 WASHINGTON, DC. 20530TO: John A. Mints, Assistant Director
Legal Coưnsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation
FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination
SUBJECT /f Senate Select Committee Request.

Attached is a request from the Senate Select Comilttee dated October 28, 1975. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daily
$\%$
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# Wrutico Slater Serrate 

## select committee to sTUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH

 RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES(FURSUAAT'TO 3. RES, 21, 34TH CONGRESS)
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510
October 28, 1975

Michael E. Shaken, Jr., Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department of Justice

Washington, D. C. 20530
Dear Mike:
The Select Committee requests the following materials for delivery/access:

$\therefore e^{\prime \cdot b^{\prime}} 1$.access to the Security Index cards recently discovered at FBI Hi l under the same conditions as the access previously granted to the ADEX.
2. delivery of the following materials pertaining to certain individuals on the Security Index (the names to be supplied following access to the Security Index cards):
a. materials reflecting the reasons why the individual was placed on the Security Index;
b. materials reflecting any dissemination of information about the individual outside the United States government.
3. access to any other versions of the Security Index or
the Reserve Index which may be in the possession of the FBI, including versions compiled by the New York City field office.




Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Page Two
dolivery of materials reflecting the reason why an FBi HQ file was opened and material indicating whether there was subversive or extremist activity with respect to the following organizations whose rames and FBI HQ file numbers were provided to the select Committee on October 9, 1975:
a. Communist Infiltration 100-432543

Council of Jewish Women.
b. East Bay Community Forum 100-432848
C. Knoxville Area Human 100-433348

Relations Council
a. George Orwell Forum 100-434048

Yale University
e. Emma Lazarus Federation 100-434168
of Jewish Women's Clubs $:$
f. Saugus Unitarian- 100-440365

Universalist Church Forum
g. San Diego Peace Action 100-440530
h. Universities Committee 100-440833
on the Problems of War and Peace
i. Communist Infiltration

100~441132
of the National Association
for the Advancement of Colored People
j. The Free University at 100-445323 Ann Arbor
k. Champaign-Urbana Council 100-446029 for Discussion on Vietnam

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.

Page Three

ME: den $^{\text {ha }}$

1. Wellsprings Ecumenical
Renewal Association
m. . Northern Virginia Coalition of Citizens Concerned About the ABM-Sponsored Town Meeting at Alexandria, Virginia, June 3, 1969
n. Neservists Against the War
o. Bald Hill Farm Commune
p. Anti-Crosstown Coalition
q. Washington Area Committee for Soviet Jewry
x. Committee for Chilean

- Democracy
s. National Conference on
Amnesty Information Con-
cerning
t. National Conference on Amnesty Information Concerning

Shea u. Council for United Civil
Rights Leadership
v. Canadian Youth Corps • 157-1074
w. Ormondsvilie Fellowship Club, Inc.
x. Black Student Union, Brevard Junior College, Cocoa, Florida

100-481899
October 28, 1975

100-453618 $\therefore$

$$
157-972
$$

$$
157-5281
$$

157-13416
100-454103

100-469726
100-472039
100-472814
100-480590

100-481206

100-481516

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Page Four

October 28, 1975
Y. Martin Luther King, Jr., Memorial Center, Inc.
z. Black Student Union

University of Nevada
Las Vegas, Nevada
aa. Greensboro Association of Poor People (GAPP)
bb. American Christian
Action Council
cc. National Tenants Organization
da. South Carolina Council of Human Relations
ee. California Renabilition 157-13791 157-13994

157-15692

157-24293

157-26335
157-27014

Center, Norco, California
-157-32882
5. delivery of the following materials pertaining to COINTELPRO:
a. copies of "draft" statements prepared for

Mr. Hoover's "off record" report before the House Subcommittee on Appropriations for the years 1955 through 1972, concerning the Bureau's counterintelligence program. (This is not to be confused with copies of the actual "off record" statement, given by Mr. Hoover, before the House Appropriations Committee, which the Select Committee has already received.)
b. (copies of Mr. Hoover's prepared testimony before) the House Subcommittee on Appropriations for the
years 1955 through 1972 .
c. copies of any and all discussion papers, press releases, memos, correspondence, or any other mate rial which discusses the FBI's liability with respect to COINTELPRO.

Michael E. Shaheen, Jx., Esq. Page Five
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d. copies of all briefing books prepared for Mr. Hoover with respec to white House conferer.ves; presentation of testimony to Congress or briefings to Members of Congress re COINTELPRO.
e. "squibs" or briefing papers (talking papers) pre- fyof dignitaries re COINTELPRO.
f. examples of incentive awards presented to agents concerning their participation in the COINTEL program.
g. copy of buck slip attached to the 10/11/69 teletype to the Director from New York captioned "COINTELPRO, Black Nationalists", file 100-4 $48006 \frac{1}{1}$ 1377.

Sincerely,


Director
Domestic Intelligence Task Force


Mr. Clarence M. Kelley
Director
FBI, Room 7162
Pennsylvania Ave. at loth WASHINGTON, D.C.

U. S. S.

F B I
Date:
9/26/75


TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395)
ATTN: INFELITGENCE DIVISION WILLIAM 0.1GREGAR
FROM: SAC, WFO (62-10744)
UNITED STATES SELECT COMMTTTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES; SSC
RE: INTERVIEW OF FBI SPECIAL AGENT JOSEPH E. BATTLE, BY SSC STAFF MEMBERS


Enclosed herewith for the Bureau is the original and seven copies of an LHM reflecting interview of SA BATTLE, which is self-explanatory.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED


## 2) Bureau (Enc. 8) I-WFO



JEB: pep (3)
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## UNITED STATES SELECT COMMITTEE

 ON INTELLIGENGE ACTIVITIESS SSC)RE: INTERVIEN OF FBI SPECIAL AGENT JOSEPH E. BATTLE

BY SSC STAFF MEMBERS
ATHORNEY MARK GITTENSTEIN, MARY DEOREO, JOHN SMITH,

SA Joseph E. Battle was interviewed on September 19, 1975 by the above SSC staff 俭embers from approximately $10: 15$ until 11 a.m.

The interview was conducted in a ground floor assembly room located in the Russell Senate Building.

Prior to the commencement of the interview, it is to be noted none of the SSC Ktaff \{embers advised SA Battle of his constitutional rights nor did they indicate he could be represented by counsel in this matter.

To the best of SA Battle's knowledge and recollection, the following questions were presented by the staff diembers and the answers given by SA Battle are so denoted.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UMCLASSTFIED DATE ID (G)OO

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBl and is lomed to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

| Question (Q): | After the Director's death, did you remove files from his office? |
| :---: | :---: |
| Answer (A): | No. |
| Q: | Weren't you frequently in the Director's office? |
| A: | No. |
| Q: | Weren't you in the Director's office after his death? |
| A: | No. |
| Q: | Were you ever in the Director's office? |
| A: | Yes. |
| Q: | When? |
| A: | In 1951 while in training school <br> in conjunction with the Director's program of meeting all new agents, and I saw him in 1955 while at In-Service. |
| Q: | Well then, were you ever in Miss Gandy's office? |
| A: | Yes. |
| Q: | At the time of the Director's death? |
| A: | No. |
| Q: | Well, when was it? |
| A: | Approximately a year or two before the Director's death. |
| Q: | For what reason? |
| A: | I was told to pick up a package at National Airport and deliver it to Miss Gandy's office, which I did. |

Do you know what was in the package?
Yes, Miss Gandy indicated it was a plaque honoring the Director and she didn't know what she was going to do with it inasmuch as there was no more available wall space to hang it.

Do you know Miss Gandy well?
No, other than her official position.
When did you first meet her?
At the aforementioned time.
Any other time?
Yes.
When was that?
In approximately April, 1972, I drove her from her residence to Bureau headquarters.

Why was that?
It was a Saturday and the Cherry Blossom Parade was in progress, and she feared difficulty in getting through the Parade route to work.

When did you see her next?
After the Director's death.
Under what circumstances?
I drove her to the Director's house.
Weren't you in her office then?
No, I met her in the courtyard.

| Q: | I thought you said yereserd you didn't know her well. |
| :---: | :---: |
| A: | I wouldn't construe the above as knowing her well. |
| Q: | What was the purpose of driving her to the Director's house? |
| A: | She said she had to meet with some lawyers concerning the Director's estate. |
| Q: | Do you know who was present at the meeting? |
| A: | No. |
| Q: | Were you? |
| A: | No, I waited outside. |
| Q: | Who ordered you to drive Miss Gandy? |
| A: | Mr. Kunkel |
| Q: | Weren't you on his squad? |
| A: | No. |
| Q: | Whose squad were you on? |
| A: | The ASAC's. |
| Q: | Who was that? |
| A: | Ed Campbell |
| Q: | Weren't you considered the \#3 man in WFO? |
| A: | No. |
| Q: | Weren't you a supervisor? |
| A: | No, a relief supervisor. |
| Q: | To Campbell? |
| A: | Yes. |

Q:A:

Then wouldn't that make you 非3 man? No.

What are your duties?
Presently I'm assigned to Squàd C-4, and I'm assigned a variety of criminal cases.

Be more specific.
Well, I handle numerous extortion-type cases pertaining to the various Senators and Congressmen, I'm assigned the Washington, D.C. phase of the Hoffa case and I assist in bank robberies and other major crimes.

What did you do on the ASAC Squad?
I handled major criminal matters and drove the ASAC to the crime scene and assisted him at the scene, and I also worked personnel matters.

Do you know John Mohr?
No, other than his official position.
You didn't know him personally?
No.
You never met with him?
No.
Did you ever remove anything from the Director's house after his death?

Yes.
What was that?
Some cardboard boxes.

| Q: | Do you know what was in them? |
| :---: | :---: |
| A: | No. |
| Q: | Were they official FBI boxes? |
| A: | I don't know what you mean by any official FBI boxes. |
| Q: | Well, were they plain cardboard boxes? |
| A: | Yes. |
| Q: | Were they sealed? |
| A: | Yes. |
| Q: | How many times did you go on this assignment? |
| A: | About 3 or 4. |
| Q: | How many boxes did you take out? |
| A: | About 6 to 8 each time. |
| Q: | Was anybody present? |
| A: | Yes. |
| Q: | Who? |
| A: | Miss Gandy and Mr. Kunkel |
| Q: | Anybody else? |
| A: | On one occasion, I saw the maid, Anna, and the chauffeur, Crawford, and a woman who Mr. Kunkel introduced as Mr. Tolson's secretary, but I didn't know her. |
| Q: | What was she doing? |
| A: | She was writing checks. |


| Q: | Did Miss Gandy have a desk in the Director's house? |
| :---: | :---: |
| A: | I don't know. |
| Q: | Did you see any file cabinets? |
| A: | No, to the best of my recollection. |
| Q: | Do you know what was in any of the boxes? |
| A: | Yes. |
| $\mathrm{Q}:=$ | What was that? |
| A: | $\checkmark$ White shirts. |
| Q: | Howdo you know that? |
| A: | Because Miss Gandy pointed them out. |
| Q: | After you left the Director's house, where did you go? |
| A: | I drove to the loading platform at WFO. |
| Q: | Who met you there? |
| A: | Either Dudney or Shaffer. |
| Q: | And then what? |
| A: | I helped unload the boxes and drove off. |
| Q: | Do you know where the boxes were then taken? |
| A: | No. |
| Q: | Didn't you and Mr. Kunkel talk about what was in the boxes and the purpose of these trips? |
| A: | No. |

Why not?
Because he never volunteered anything and I figured it was none of my business.

Don't you think this is kind of odd?
No, I've helped other people move and clean out their cellars and I considered this about the same thing.

Didn't you become suspicious and scared when you read in the newspapers that allegedly secret files were taken out of the Director's office and destroyed?

No, why should I, as I said, before, I wasn't in the Director's office.

Mr. Battle, Mr. Shaffer states you and he removed boxes from the Director's office at the direction of Mr. Kunkel.

Well, Mr. Shaffer is wrong. I never went to the Director's office with he or anyone else.

Have you discussed this matter with anyone else?

Yes, with the FBI Inspectors who called me in.

That was when?
In June, you can get the exact date from the 302 .

Have you discussed this matter with anyone else?

Well, you know Shaffer, Dudney and Dowling and I come up here this morning together and naturally the matter was discussed.

Q:

Do you know or see a memorandum that was circulated by the Bureau concerning this inquiry?

No.
When did you last see Kunkel?
About 6 months ago, I saw him at an elevator bank in the Bureau and we exchanged greetings.

How about prior to that?
When he left for St. Louis:
You haven't discussed this matter with anyone?

No, other than the above.
How come Kunkel was transferred to St. Louis, there was a lot of publicity about it?

I don't know anything more about it than as you say the national publicity.

Wasn't there a personal feud between Gray and Kunkel?

If there was, I don't have any direct knowledge of it.
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U.S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
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Enclosed are an original and seven copies of an LHM setting forth information relative to the interview by/f the Select Committee on Intelligence Activities of KENNETH? SHAFFER, Chief Clerk, Washington Field Office on 9/19/75.
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> U.S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

> RE: INTERVIEN OF CHIEF CLERK KENNETH SHAFFER BY SSC STAFF MEMBERS

On September 19, 1975, at the request of the Legal Council Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Headquarters (HQ), Kenneth Shaffer, Chief Clerk, Washington Field Office, appeared at the Senate Office Building for the purpose of being interviewed by the Staff Members of the United States Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities.

The interview was conducted by Senate Select Staff Members, Mary DeOreo and Mark Gitenstien. At the on-set of the interview, Mr. Shaffer was not advised of his Constitutional uights nor was he advised that he was being questioned on a voluntary basis.

During the month of June, 1975, Mr. Shaffer was interviewed at $\mathrm{FBI}-\mathrm{HQ}$, relative to his participation in the handling and destruction of material from the residence and Justice Building Office of the late J. Edgar Hoover. As a result of this interview an FD-302 (Report of Interview Form) was prepared. Prior to the time of the interview by the Staff Members, Mr. Shaffer had not had the opportunity to read this report. Mir. Shaffer requested that he be permitted to read the FD-302 report. After a short conference, between the Staff Members, they agreed that it would be permissible for him to read the report.

The interview by the Staff Members lasted approximately one hour and 15 minutes.
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The questions asked by the two Senate Select Committee Staff Members were relative to above mentioned matter.

The questions and replies set forth below are as best as Mr. Shaffer can recall.

Question: How long have you been the Assistant Chief Clerk at the Washington Field Office?

Answer: Five years. I am now Chief Clerk. I replaced Mr. Dudney who was transferred to Headquarters.

Question: How long have you worked for the Bureau?
Answer: Thirty-one years.
Question: Do you know Mr: John P. Mohr?
Answer: I have known Mr. Mohr personally for twenty-five years.
Question: Do you know Mr. Mark Felt?
Answer: Yes, I do.
Question: Do you know Miss Gandy?
Answer: I met her on two or three occasions over the years.
Question: Have you ever discussed this matter with Mr. Mohr or Mr. Felt?

Answer: No, I have not.
Question: After the death of Mr. Hoover, how much time lapsed before you began picking up cartons from his office for disposal?
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Answer: A week or two.
Question: What was going on in the Director's office when you picked up the cartons?

Answer: Personal effects were being packed and the rooms were being prepared for painting and redecorating.

Question: Do you think Mr. L. Patrick Gray knew what was taking place?

Answer: I really do not know.
Question: Did you see Mr. Mohr, Mr. Felt or Miss Gandy?
Answer: No, I did not.
Question: Who did you see in the Director's office?
Answer: I believe I did speak to Mr. Moten.
Question: Who is Mr. Moten?
Answer: He was Mr. Hoover's chauffeur.
Question: How many times have you been in the Director's office?
Answer: Several times.
(During the course of this questioning, I remarked that to the best of my recollection, I was not in the Director's inner office during this pertinent time.)

Question: How many times did you go to the Director's office to pick up cartons of material for destruction?
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Answer: Possibly two or three times.
Question: How many boxes were there?
Answer: As far as I can recall, there were six to nine boxes.

Question: Were the cartons marked in any way?
Answer: As I recall, they were not marked, but were sealed when received and remained sealed until time of destruction.

Question: Did Mr. Battle at any time go over to the Justice Building with you to pick up cartons?

Answer: Yes, I believe he did.
(The interviewer stated that my reply was contrary to that of Mr. Battle. Mr. Battle indicated that he was not at the Justice Building with you).

Question: Did you ever go to the Justice Building alone to pick up cartons of material for destruction?

Answer: No, I did not.
Question: If you had gone alone would you have had to account for your time to Mr. Dudney?

Answer: No.
Question: Were you ever at Mr. Hoover's residence?
Answer: No.
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Question: Did you see Mr. Kunkel in an automobile with Mr. Battle on occasions when cartons were delivered from Mr. Hoover's residence to the loading platform?

Answer: I do not recall seeing him.
Question: Tell us how you were advised that matexial was ready to be picked up?

Answer: Mr. Kunkel would receive a telephone call and in turn would contact Mr. Battle and advise him. Enroute from Mr. Hoover's residence, Mr. Battle would contact the Radio Room and request that I meet him at the platform.

Question: Were these cartons ever placed in the office of Mr. Kunkel?

Answer: No.
(For the record, I did retract this answer and stated "that the beginning of the project, they were placed in a room within his office and kept there until it was time for destruction. After a time, they were taken directly to the disintegrator room. Mr. Dudney and I had the key to this room.")

Question: Is there another storage room in the Washington Field Office where the cartons might have been stored until time for destruction?

Answer: No.
(Following the interview, in talking to Mr. Dudney, I then realized that the interviewers were referring to a walk-in vault where Mr. Dudney had placed material on one or two occasions.)
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Question: What sort of material was in the cartons?.
Answer: Photography, old personal letters, post cards, Christmas cards, etc., and other personal records.

Question: Do you recall seeing letters which had holes punched in them indicating that they may have been part of a file?

Answer: Yes, there were some letters, but they were torn up and mixed in with other material.

Question: Do you recall seeing at least 150 to 200 3x5 index cards?

Answer: No, but it is possible that they could have been mixed in with other material.

Question: If they were in a box, would the disintegrating machine be able to handle them?

Answex: No, it would not.
(The capabilities and operations of the machine were fully explained to the Staff interviewers at this time.)

Question: How long did it take for you to destroy the material?
Answer: Between six to nine hours over a two week period of time.

Question: Is there any record which would reflect the time spent in the destruction of the material or the amount of material destroyed?
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Answer: No

Question: Was there any destruction of material after the first week of July?

Answer: No.
Question: Knowing that the Bureau had its own disintegrating facilities, why do you think Mr. Kunkel was requested to handle the destruction of the material?

Answer: Prior to Mr. Kunkel's becoming a Special Agent, he was a Clerical Employee assigned to the Director's office. Miss Gandy may have requested his assistance in the destruction of Mr. Hoover's personal papers.

Question: Why do you think that such precautions were taken in the handling and destruction of this material?

Answer: The material was that of a prominent deceased individual and the contents need not be publicized.

Question: Are you assigned to operate the disintegrator machine?

Answer: No, we have employees assigned this duty.
Question: Why did Mr. Kunkel ask you and Mr. Dudney to personally handle the destruction of the late Director's material?

Answer: As Special Agent in Charge, Mr. Kunkel requested Mr. Dudney and I to handle and destroy all material. We did so without question.

Question: Early this year an article appeared in a local newspaper relative to the destruction of material from the office of Mr. Hoover. Did you read this axticle?
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Answer: Yes, I did.
Question: How did this affect you?
Answer: It really did not affect me. It became a private joke between Mr. Dudney and myself in that we may have made the newspapers, but did not realize we were or would ever be involved.

Question: Did you read an article in "Time" or "Newsweek" relative to the destruction of material from the office of Mr. Hoover?

Answer: No sir, I did not.
Question: Did you discuss this matter with anyone?
Answer: No, I did not.
The interviewer emphatically stated that I did discuss the matter at the time $I$ was interviewed as reflected by the copy of FD-302 in his possession.
(I stated that I thought he was referring to a possible discussion with personnel assigned to the Washingt on Field Office.)

There may have been other questions asked of me; however, as stated above these are the questions I can recall.
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UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Washington, D.C. 20535 September 22, 1975
U.S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF SPECIAL AGENT JOSEPH E. DOWLING BY SSC STAFF MEMBERS

Special Agent (SA) Joseph E. Dowling, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) was interviewed on September 19, 1975, at approximately 1:30 p.m. at the SSC Offices by SSC Staff Members Mary DeOreo and Mark Gitenstein. No mention was made by Staff hîmbers regarding Constitutional Nights and no mention was made as to whether or not this interviev was voluntary.

SA Dowling was asked if he had ever assisted in the removal of certain boxes from the residence of the late J. Edgar Hoover. He replied that within one or two weeks after Mr. Hoover's death, he accompanied Robert G. Kunkid, who at that time was Special Agent in Charge of the Washington Field Office of the FBI, to Mr . Hoover's residence and assisted in removing six to eight cardboard boxes. These boxes were taken from the basement of the residence and delivered to the Washington Field Office of the FBI loading dock in the rear of the Old Post Office Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.G. The boxes were placed on the loading dock in the custody of either Kenneth Shaffer or Thomas Dudney, FBI employees.

Concerning the boxes transported from Mr. Hoover's residence to the 01d Post Office Building, Special Agent Dowling advised the SSC Staff Members that these boxes were sealed with tape and that at no time did SA Dowling see what was in the boxes. In addition, SA Dowling did not discuss the
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contents with Special Agent in Charge Kunkwe. SA Dowling was asked who was present at the Hoover residence when he made this trip. He advised SSC that he observed no one but did hear the voice of a female and recognized this voice as that of Miss Helen Gandy, a former member of Mr. Hoover's Staff.

This interview lasted approximately fifteen minutes.

At 5:35 p.m. on November 25, Assistant Attorney General Pottinger of the Civil Rights Division in the Department telephonically advised me he had received a memorandum fromAttornēy General Levi directing him to inquire into the testimon given by FBIrepresentatives recently concerning the Bureau investigatienty Martin Luther King, Jr, and to conduct an inquiry into Bureau files cuiceming that matter.
Mr. Pottinger said that he responded by caling the Attorney General and telling him that the Civil Rights Division had already been considering that matter for sometime, but that the inquiry to date:had 'borne no fruit whatsoever." Mr. Pottinger said that he was calling me in order to advise the Bureau that he would be undertaking a review as requested by the Attorney General and to request our cooperation. I assured Mr. Pottinger that I and others in the Bureau would be willing to cooperate fully with him in that regard.

I immediately advised Mr. Adams of the call from Mr. Pottinger.
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## RE: REQUEET FOR TMFORMATION CONCERNING THIS DJIEAU'S OPERATION OF TNGORWMNTS IN THI INLERNAL SECURITY ETELD

Reference he made to ssc lettex dated November 7 , 1975, requesting information concerning this pureau"s. operation of informants in the internal security field and oral request of Stafer Member Robert Kelley on November 26, 1975, for a sumary of information regarding the basia wox this Bureau's investigation of the Ietnam Fetexans kgainst the Waw/W Organization (VVAW/Fiso) fox inclusion in the public record of hearings scheduled fow December 2, 1975 , and release to the news media.

Information concerning thts ureau's operation of informants in the inberina security tield was forwarded to you by commaication captioned as above, ciated November 25, 1975,

Attached is a summary of infoxmation regarding the basis for tuis Bareau's investigation of vivinh wa.
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RE: VIETNAM VETERANS AGAINST THE WAR/ WINTER SOLDIER ORGANIZAT ION (VVAW/WSO)
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In June, 1967, Gus Hall, General Secretary of the Communist Party, USA (CPUSA) commented on the forthcoming 1968 national elections. Hall stated that the CPUSA must develop guidelines for the political campaigns and must assist in the development of any 1968 campaign which was antiwar in character and not limited to either major political party. On September 10, 1967, the CPUSA publication, "The Worker," announced that the organization VYAW had been formed in June, 1967, as a nonmembership organization made up of Vietnam veterans who were opposed to the war. This communist publication provided the purpose of VVAF as opposition to the "unjustified" war in Vietnam. It announced that VVAW had joined "the dissent of millions of Americans against the war."

An initial limited inquiry was begun by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) in September, 1967, to determine, through inquiries with established sources and public source material, if the CPUSA was directing or controlling the newly formed VVAly. This limited inquiry failed to establish that the CPUSA, or any other subversive organization, exercised direction or control over VVAW. The limited inquiry did indicate that VVAW leadership appeared before the Socialist Workers Party (SWP) sponsored Militant Labor forum in New Yorls City, but there was no indication of any control by the SWP. With the absence of such direction or control by any subversive organization, the limited inquiry of VVAW was closed in October, 1967. A similar limited inquiry was conducted in 1968, resulting in an updated affirmation that VWAW was not controlled or dominated by any subversive group. This second limited inquiry was closed in May, 1968. During the limited inquiries in 1967 and 1968, the National Headquarters of VVAW was located in New York City. WVAFI activities were primarily

Assoc. Dir.
Dep. AD Adm. -
Dep. AD inv. Asst. Dir.:
Admin.
Comp. Syst.
Ext. Affairs
Files \& Com. -
Gen. Inv. Ident. Inspection lIntel.
Laboratory
Plan. \& Eval. -
Spec. Inv.
Training devoted to participation in and organization of demonstrations opposing the war in vietnam. No full investigation was conducted by the FBI of WVAW until August, 1971, when information from a variety of sources dictated the need to determine the extent of control
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over VVAF by subversive groups and/or violence-prone elements in the antivar movement. Sources had provided information that WAFF was stockpiling veapons, Wall had been in contacted with North Vietnam offictals in paxis, France, WVAF whs receiving runds fron Somer CPUSA members and WVA was aiding and financing U.S. military desertexs. Additionally, information had been received that some individund chapters throughout the country had been inisiltroted by the youth groups of the CPUSA and the swe. A trend of increased militancy developed yithin the WVAF and the posmibilities of violence escalated within the oxganization. During Decenber, 1071, VWA merbers torcibly nd illecally occupied on surrounded pubitc buildings and mational momments in Nev Yorl City, Philadelphia, Austin, Texas, and Washington, D. C.

During 1072, the FBI estimated that VVAr had approxinately 1,800 members and 102 chapters throughout the country. VVAW claimed 23,000 memboxs and 283 chapters. In 1972, some leaders and activists in the VVAir made trips to Europe and Asia during which they participated in conforences with representatives and/or officials of the Soviet Bloc, North Vietnam, and the Hational Liberation Front (NLF) of South Vietnam. VWaly leaders informed the mombership that VWAY had hoen nsked to cooperate on an international level with many organizations, including comunist or Maoist grouns, describing these Groups as "our fricuds." When reporting on trios to Paris, Noscow and Manoi, WYAM leadexs indicated the organization was dedicated to ending imperialist acgression and establishing a fiym relationstip with the NLF.

In June, 1,972, a delegation of ficteen VVAW members and leaders attended a war crimes confexence near paris. Tho contorence, which included representatives of Hortiz Vietnam and the NIF, was sponsored by two Thotskyist organizations in France. One of the Whif national officers addressed tinis conterence and it was reported that the French Connunist Party had paid Lor the expenses of the VVAF contingent at the conference.

Ouring Octoker, 1072, the National Steering Comattee (HSC) of WVAll ret and discussed the direction of VVAl and the need to make it an anti-imperialist
political group, rather than "just another group of war vetorans: " Host of the speakers paxticipating at this neeting exnyesced thedre viens indicatinc VVAW yens utiluatre a mavint-ortented antyois on world problens. Additionally, it vas imdicnted thet Whe had established relations with the rrich Fepubitionn Aray, had contret whth the Amolnns, and was attempting to establish Iteison with the Doples Ropublic ox China (PNC).

In April. 127S, the orcanization changed its
 The chance was rade in orcor to atsonto into the orcanim ration, individurle who were not vetorans of ailitary sexrice.

Ey ApriI, 1973, VVAW/HSO Teadership claimed to havo connections with over 200 foreirn organizations and rasintained that WAW/wo was considered a credible orconimation by theso orcanizations. In october, 107s, sepresontatives of VVMi/1s nttented nud partictpated in the World peace Conmess, hoscom, U.S.S.R.
 tuithin the national leadorsinip of VVAV/WSO. The split arose betreen tiose espousing the Mamist-Leninist boctrine and those espousing the Maryist-Leninist floctrine ts intorpeeted by Ho Tse tung. Duxinc 197\%,
 dotinant and exprossed detormintion in educative the VVAN/WSO momberkip in this roctuine. Dy 1973, VWIV/ASO

- Was composed of approximately 100 chapters vitis an estinated menbership of 2,000. The National Headquarters Whs, and still is, located in Clatcago, IIIinots.

By Aprily 1974, tive NSC dovoted itself to the thene on definity WAW/Wic3 as a politically oriented organimation, capablo of untetne vith ns many people at possible to corbat U.S. imperialisn. The hope was oxpressed that VYaw/hSO would becone paxt of the vanguna of the rovolution within this country. Within the ISSC, dissatistaction developed and was expressed by some of the chaptens concerning the VVAW/YKO publication, "Hinter foldier." The dissntisfled menbers claimed the publication reflected coraunist propaganda rathex than
chapter activities, news about the Third Worid, and news of nctivist groups. Additional disenchantment with
 condting of a letten of cenaure to the Fovict Union, protesting the rape of a teralo VVAN/MSO cieleratc to the Wonla Peace Council, held in looscov during 1073. VVal/ 1360 reportedly severed rezations with the CDuSA over this incident.

 or a firinins, anti-inperialist movenent within the U.N. milltary sox tho purpose of oreatins diswuption and disoxientation within the nilitawy when the revolution occurxed.

In Decentuex, 3974 , the 1 wc voted to align vVan/amo with the nevolutionary union (iv). Tinis chanced thelr political position from o karcely unamist approach to ons following a stutot haoist line. This nifcument tzith mu cxeptea internal stwina within VVAV/ 7\%
 June, 1075 , some of the FVAW/WSO claptews which did not staport the National otitice held a noeting in vilich they verifited severance fron the mational oxgenimation and agreed to set up an independent orcanimation. this rival croup was intonded to be antismimpertalist in naturo, but, to dato, ics future iry not certain.

The current liational Ofeste ox VVaw/Wo is stromgly influenced by in and could develop into nn 2U tront.

Tinoughout its nistory, VVAM/WSO has not been a well-disciplined, theoxeticaliy constistent oxganization. The varions chapters never wifitaly followed the dictates and policies presented by tho motonal offtee. there have been instamees of mejection of the Nationnl otfice, concepts both on a chaptex and a regional basis. The cessution of the T. S. Involvoment in the war in Southeast

 the orgentration, Chaptexs and serions were discolved ox consolidated.
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In 1974，FBl Iield offices were instructed to analyze the chapters and regions in their respective territories，If the locat organimation did not subscxibe to the pollcies of the lational orfice and were not maxist－leninist grouns adyocating the overthrow of the Government，the investigation of the local organization was to be teminated．Nost of the local chapters and regions continued to we nominally affiliated with the nationvide VVAW／WSO，but the splintering and the internal ideological disputes demanded close examination of the local positions of each region and chapter．hany of the investigations op the various chaptors were closed，not because they were no longer active，but because of their apparent failure to follow the Harxist－Leninigt revolutionary posture of the National office，No current nationyide nombership ficuxes are available for VVAW／緼合 because FBI investigation is Iintited to specific chapters which are revolutionary in nature．Currently，the most active of these chapters exist in Chicago，隹ivaulec， Ney Yoria and San Diego．
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Information furnished regarding the basta for this Bureau's investigation of the Vietnam Veterans Against the Var/wintex Soldier Organization for inclusion in the pubitice record of hearings and release to the news media.
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## HARRY MORGAN; SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE-

DATE: $11 / 21 / 75$

Ah 11:05 a.m., on November 21, Harry Morgan, former SAC, telephonically advised me that he had just received a telephone call from Gary Grace, a reporter for the Cincinnati, Ohio "Post", whose telephone number is 513-721-1111. Grace requested a comment from Morgan concerning testimony by Mr. Adams on November 19 before captioned Committee in which it was mentioned that Mr. Morgan was the author of a memorandum June 3, 1968, which described a COINTELPRO operation against Antioch College. Mr. Morgan asked me for advice as to what his response to the press should be. I reminded him that he was still bound by an employment agreement requiring his secrecy and I suggested to him that it would be appropriate for him to refer the press inquiry to FBI Headquarters for comment here. Mr. Miorgan said he understood and accepted both of these suggestions, however, he would like to respond to the press inquiry with the limited statement that he was aware of the testimony by Mr. Adams and if Mr. Adams said that he (Morgan) was the author of such memorandum that he considered it to be true. I told Mr. Morgan that I saw no difficulty in his furnishing such an observation. He thanked me and terminated the call.

I advised Mr. Coll, Press Service Office, of conversation with Mr. Morgan and alerted him to the fact that his office may receive a call from Gary Grace.
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TO : Director, FBI (62-116395)
Attention:
INTD
Mr. W. Qi. CDBEGAR
FROM : SAC, Louisville (66-2541)
SUBJECT:- SENSTUDY 75
$i$ ReButel 9/25/75.

As the Bureau is aware, Mr. JOHN SMITH is a former
U. S. Attorney in Louisville. He is extremely friendly with many Agents of this office and volunteered that he has a brother who is a Special Agent in the San Francisco Office.

He arrived at 9:40 AM and departed at 11:35 AM. Our conversation in my office lasted from 9:40 AM to 10:55 AM. Except for approximately thirty minutes, the majority of the time was general conversation about his past activities in Louisville, people he knew in the Bureau, etc. Between 10:55 and 11:35 AM, he was afforded a tour of the office and visited with Agent friends throughout the office.

SMITH advised he believes public hearings of the SSC will continue until December, 1975. He advised hearings on IRS will be coming up soon and thereafter additional hearings on CIA "re letter openings," plus hearings with the National Security Agency. SMITH advised he contemplates getting off the Committee around 12/1/75, REG,

Bureau will be kept advised in the event SMITH recontact's SAC, Louisville.
2. PBureau (Enc. 8) RM

1 - Louisville
SSC:ww
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UNITED: STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Louisville, Kentucky<br>October 1, 1975

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.
U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN/SUNCLASSIFIED DATE LO ITIO ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FBI SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE (SAC) STANLEY S. CZARNECKI BY SSC STAFF MEMBER

On Friday, September 26, 1975, Mr. John.Smith, Staff Member, SSC, interviewed SAC Stanley S. Czarnecki in his FBI office, Louisville, Kentucky, for approximately thirty minutes.

Czarnecki was not advised of any constitutional rights nor was he requested to sign any waiver of rights form.

Mr. Smith stated that while he was in his Washington, D. C., office earlier this week, members of the SSC staff, identities not mentioned, requested him to contact Czarnecki in connection with Smith's impending visit to his home in Louisville. Specifically, they requested Smith to interview Czarnecki concerning a memorandum Czarnecki had "signed out," captioned "Investigations of Key Activists - New Left," dated May 24, 1968. Mr. Smith stated he did not have a copy of this memorandum nor had he read it. He stated it dealt with requesting of Federal Income Tax returns of certain Key Activists from the U. S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Czarnecki advised he could not recall this specific memorandum nor its contents. Czarnecki advised Mr. Smith that he doubts that he had "signed out" a memorandum and then explained all of his correspondence was approved or "signed out" by a Unit Chief to whom'. Czarnecki was assigned at any given time. It was further explained that Czarnecki had served in two Sections, eight Units, and three Divisions at FBI headquarters during the period September, 1967, through April, 1972.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FBI SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE (SAC) STANLEY S. CZARNECKI BY SSC STAFF MEMBER

Mr. Smith asked Czarnecki if he had ever requested IRS to furnish the FBI any income tax returns on Key Activists and what procedure was followed in requesting same. Czarnecki explained he could have; however, could not recall if he personally had ever requested such tax returns; however, he does recall such requests were made on a selective basis. These requests were made only after careful review of the request and approval obtained by officials within the Domestic Intelligence Division.

Smith asked Czarnecki if he had ever seen any of the tax returns and what type of security was afforded them. Czarnecki advised he had seen some tax returns of Key Activists and believes the number was small. These returns as well as all documents located in the Domestic Intelligence Division were afforded top security and most all such material was reviewed on a need-to-know basis.

Smith also asked whether the Bureau supervisor handling Key Activists had direct live contact with Internal Revenue Service Agents. Czarnecki stated he recalls no direct contact was ever had with IRS people by the regular Bureau supervisors.

Smith asked Czarnecki's opinion whether or not the Federal Income Tax returns should be made available to all law enforcement agencies to aid them in certain types of investigations. Czarnecki advised he could not speak for all of law enforcement, however, depending upon the type of investigative matter any and all information that could be developed on a key subject or suspect would be helpful.

Mr. Smith advised Czarnecki that he may be back in touch with him in approximately three weeks and, if necessary, will have a copy of the memorandum which Czarnecki allegedly "signed out."

Attached is a copy of the testimony before the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities held on 11/19/75. The attached should be reviewed for corrections of a grammatical nature. Changes in substance may be made by furnishing the Committee a written statement. The attached should be expeditiously reviewed and returned to the Office of Congressional Affairs by \&lose of business 12/1/75.

RECOMMENDATION:


That the attached be expeditiously reviewed, cor rections made, and returned to the Office of Congressional Affairs by close of business 12/1/75 for transmittal to the Committee.
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ALL INFORMATION CONTANED HERENNISUNCLASSIFIED
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## SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, gATH CONGRESS)
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20510


Ref: Hearing on Domestic Intelligence

> Programs .
$\qquad$
Date November 19, 1975

Copy \# 5

Attached is a copy of your recent testimony before the Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities. Please review and return with your corrections entered directly onto the transcript in red or in ink. Do not retype the entire transcript. If necessary, an insertion should be stapled onto the page front, clearly marked to identify the point of insert.

Kindly mail the transcript in the enclosed envelope, which needs no postage, in time to reach the Committee by

December 2, 1975

If we do not hear from you by that time we shall assume that you have no corrections nor changes, and the transcript will be sent to the printer as it is. Your transcript must be returned, however, whether or not you desire any changes. It should not be duplicated nor distributed in:its present form.

Please return this sheet with your transcript and ascertain the correctness of your mailing address. A copy of the printed hearing containing your testimony will be sent to you when published.

Thank you for cooperation CONTANED


REGISTERED. MAIL
Via AIRTEL ${ }_{\text {REGISTERED. MAIL }}^{\text {(Precedence) }}$


Enclosed is an original and 8 copies of a LHM captioned, "U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (SSC)."

The enclosed LHM concerns interview of SA GARRY G. LASH by SSC staff members on $11 / 20 / 75$.

Arrangement oof areas of inquiry in enclosed LHM is topical rather than chronological.
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84 FEB 3 1976.

Burfatis，Wew York
？November 26， 1976

UNITED SEATES SERATE SELTCT COMMITYKE ON IMTELLIGENCF ACOIVTHIES（SSC）

RE：TUTERVIE OP FII SPEGIAL AGEIT GAPRY G．LASt BY SSC STAFF MEMBERS AFDRE P POSTAL AKD JEFF KAYDET OH NOVEMPET 20＊ 1975

Interview of special Agent LASH by SSC Stafe comittee members was conducted in SSC office space． The interview lasted from approxinately 11：15 Ais until 1：15 PM。

Frior to the interview SA LASH wad acivised of the Idantity of the interviewere and that he was free to exarcise his righte at any time as guaranteed：by the United states constitution．SA LASP way advieed that he had the right to have an attorney present and the right to have a United States Senator preaent，SA LASH wafvec both of these righte．Ha was aleb advised that the scope of the inquiry would concem the handling of 雖RY Jo cook， a fomex Fit informant，exclusively．

A court reporter was present wo dictated into a cassette necording machina during the interviev．

3A LASH mas not sworn．
As Tollows are the questions alrected to BA LASH and the answars chat he provided occording to the best Hecollection of SA LAS旗：

This document contains neither
monmmandations nor conclusions of
the FBT．It is the nrinertv of
the FRI and is onred to your arency；
it end its cortents are not to be
Atstrihuted out hae

WNITED STARES SENATE

IMTELLTGEACE ACTEVITIES
AMLREG Postat．
SA LASH，wat is your present owployment？

SA LABH
TOSTAL
TASH
POSTAL
$!$
Lasir $\because \because \quad$ yea
posent

LAS等
POsara

LASN
POSTAL
LASt
postah

4AS＊
POStal
LA 4
posical．
解
Letext
Yes

Spectar Apent of the FuI
WTETe are you asmitned？

Wers yeu masigned there during the Tumar of 1973？

Did you specialize in ant type of Hnvestizetionn
Yes F Interral Sacmity Investigations
Bit you hav oncalion to recruit
Hary wo Cook an an inforyant in an
 Agminet the fas（VVat）（Gharacterization of wish ia contalnat in appendis becetol？

Would you stat why the guffelo．Onapter
 By the Fist？

I co not feel that san antat the question within the secop of the cumpent sintervinus．

Who 路思 your supervizor at tie time you handied tawy Jo Cook？
Traticum Jentins
tho wat your SAC at the time？
Achased Agh
Wonld you tescredbe tow the wistnods of pecruiting 繥xy do cook．

Upon discover Ing thet way to cook hat attanden mos wetince of the arealo
 concerining hor attendance and indicated． to her that I 4 ishad her to bucoze all unformant for tha THI．
UHTHED SmATES SEMATE
SELECT COHTHTE OR
IntELLIGNCE ACTIVITIES
Posidal． Specifically，wat instructions did youEive her？POSTAZLASKPOSTAL
LAS䌊VOSTAL
EASHpasind
POS罙AL
LASK
LAS鞓

I teld her to meso a in maner of theLASH ：The Vifin aid not have memberihip carts－\＆such，however i considered aperson tho attenda eatinga of the Chapteror givas finatelal or other support to bea memer of the orymisistion． Buffalo chapter of the vinat in ories that she ight isather information concerning violent or radical activition engaged in the organization．

Nat specifie area wes xiss Cook astisnea to work in？

Inltially bu becen a meturn of the


Was thla Erap of the VVat engaged in any spacifle type of activity at the time？

I belleve at this point in tion thay were tryins to devalop arious progna㯭 they could itaplewent in the future．

Dik yon tell wex bo wate tobtain beck qround infomation conewenin药． individuals in tha foupt

I told her to obtain information eoncerning －mban of the VVA

That do yeu mean by＂a menour？
ghe vili aid not have memberhip carts as zuch，howaver，I considewed a or divast finatelal or other support to be a menter of the oryanisation．

That typa of baciground information did ohe obtan？
she otezinea physical descmiptions and other typea of bectround informathon such as yesidenees or mployaent vinich would allow to differentíate betwen thet individual and other individuale in the kuffalo area．



| WWITED | STATES SExATE |
| :---: | :---: |
| SELECT | COHMITHETE 0 |
| T 5 TEL | Ezinct ACTIVITIES |




| UHTED STATES SENATE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| dasa | Yes I mould. |
| POstas | Did you ever find out that the VWA whes getting fonds from forelign sources? |
| Lasti | นo. |
| pestal | What Ala you do with the namas that were contained on these lista? |
| Lasa | I. Would revies the liste to detersine if there was anything significant contained in the and a great teal of them I would 40 nothing with and erely return the lists to Mixe Cook. She provided ae ith lot of anterial that I hed no interest in. |
| POSTAL | Dld she ever provide you with a defense pamphlet? |
| Last | 保就 that 1 can recall |
| POSTAL | Did she evor give you any information concerning VUA cefense strategies? |
| Lasil | I believe she gave me material such as reprints of articles froin "payehology Today and from a magazine called. "Counter spy" and other inforeation of that nature. |
| postal | Did she tell you that she was wowing with the Attica Defense Comitter |
| LASH | Yes |
| POSTAL | What is the Attica Defonse Comattee? |
| LASEI | It is an umbealla-type organization in which indivituals who are interested in defending Attica prisoners ase wall as individuals ceming theix ow nds have dotten together. |
| postal | DA Hise cook over indicete that the VYA 4 was a conduit of will betwaen the Attica befense coumittee and peisonerg in order to get letters in and out of Jafl? |
| LASK | I don't recall her saying that. |

URITED STATES \＄ETAMTSELECT CONUITHEE OUTHTELITEEXCE ACMIUTTIFS


posmal．

LAETI
POSTAL

LAs新

POSTAL

LAS䚍
posTAl．

LASE

Fosixil．

BAS数

POSTAL

Hot thet 1 can recall
Did you ever avo any information abse proviced to the tutica prosecutors？
fone whatsonvar
Did you ver give any kind of intomation requrding the ftica Defena Comittee to others outsich the FEI？

I कould past on information concerning denonctrations，ralies ete．to the lecal atherititer

DLa she evor talk bwut Aemongtrations in the courtroow itseli？

Rot that I can recall．
Did she ever provide losistical type infowation concerning Attica demonstrations？

Yes，on one occasion eace vas oven A＂parmate arshin at a ceronstration．

整起 thaf ever any violence at Attica Defence Comittee Demonatrations？

On ore occasion another gmoup whick wa
 to maroh out of the parade and tragh the Chase 解的hattan ank in Buffalo proveking the police．This infometion aqa brought to the attention of the poliee and it dis not occup．And as I reeail， I diso told Wary Jo cook about this plan and sight have prevented it frox bappening．

Are siny of the Incividuals who are actually connected with the defense of the Attica prisoners knom to be violencengrone individunle？


```
UNTTED STATES SEMATE
SELECT COMMTTTEE OH TMTELIGEMCE ACIVITIES
```

pastal

Lasy

POSTAL

LAST

POSTAL

LASI
POSTAL

Lasis

POSTAL

LASH

She etated that on oceasion you provided her uith a list of questions which she said case frow fashington and sometimes the lid not understand the questions.

On occasion I voult ank hee eunstions about the ortanization. I never gave her any list of questione that I said cane from lashington. on aevernl ocoselions I tole her, in response to her questions, that the information she provided was sometiaes sent ta Vashington since it pertained to $v \mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ nationally. I pointed out that this ahould caln her fears that the FBI shight ba Hetting information from inforsanta who are not telling the true story about the VVAF. I also pointed out to her thet her information being accunate would in fact offset any mis-information that misht come from another informant.
ind Miss cook aver provide out of tom reports?

Yes. Hiss Cook traveled to other cithes and provided reports on activities in these citian.
tas she provided with the names of A ments and telephone numers in these other citiea.

Yes she wes.
Mas this mo she could report to these other Agente?

Ho. She was given the number for ouergency purposes only, to the utilized if she found out something that required immediate attention or if she auffared some personal emergency wich as mn autcaobile accident, ctc.
pumine hes trips to other cities and attendance at conventions, deld she obtain any documente for the Fis?

Yeg.


## UNTTED STATES Sะ

SELECT COHETHTET THTRLKTCEMCK ACTIVITLES

LASH
possal．
LAS啹
POSTAL
LAS楽

Poszal

LAS表
posTal
LASM

POSML

LAS嘏

Wo．This would have been to conceal the fact that she was an FPI inforkent．

BId you gat Nary 30 Cook a fob？
I alced her in chading employsent．

## what ware the cireunctances？

HIs祭 Cook indicated that she mas being criticized by menther of her fopup for being a lumpen proletaxiat（Pi） for not beixg gainfully amployed． Mis if a Maryist tera for anyone being supported by their pareents
 It wenle be necestaxy fer hem to find －job and I comtacted a soctal acquintance of wine who is employed by a Bufficio ame bant，who aterised that the bank is always looking for tellars． I advised ${ }^{4}$ izs cook to go to the hank． she did and ahe got job as a teller．

Did wary Jo Cook feel she tee an Asent Provacatear？
ve．In the contwary，I feel if anything whe nat non－brovacateve sives I Inetracted hem to act in such a way as to pmevent any violent on illagal act that aight be alseuread in hew presence．I think sha understood this gna deted in this way．

Why did Mary wo cook act an in informant？
Haxy Jo Cook wact an actual member of the
 FBL．The reconciled thit in her falnd by feeling that she was providing the Fox lith information that has obfective and truc about the orespization，as weil as preventing violent indivicuals fxom taking ovex the group．
 was violence prone？

I do not think I can answar that．

UUITED STATHS SENATE
SELECT COMMITTE O O
IHTELIGESCA ACTIVIMTES
POSTAL $\because \because$ You cannot givenomeproxiwation？
LASH $\therefore \quad$ Accorving to 部iss cook there were individuals who were not interemtec at all
if wolenco，es well as incivinuals wio mane intexesten in taking to the gun and fightint in the Etreets a adensiva manture assminn that a plelent mavolution nowle se stamted by the astablighannt． Thare were also incividuals whe were intareted in lnitiating violence theselvas to being about their politheal gealy． What perecntage of the seovp ach of these factione represented，I cannet my．

Conle you indinater the numares of violent activither that the VVE Was involvea in durine the perfor you handled Maxy to cook？

TASM
MCYEL
HAS数
KAYDE

LAS新
KAYDE

LAS虽
I camot mecall．
紱里 2 or 25 ？
actran 2 and 25.
 sera the mest lowing and roon people ske has evar met．oif she evan indicate that to yoxa？

Tas．
If ohe indlcatet that these people kfare soloving and gooct，how did you feel that thay could engag in acter of violence．

I do not gean to fe facetious，but I have read that the charles 倩anson fanily in Califarmia clata to love each other and ane very intemested In secology and other geod things．㗉ut I baliave they certainly geex to te capable of angaging in vialance．

POSTAL

## LAS踥

POSTAL

LASH
COSCAL
LASII

POSTAL

1．ASt
POSTAL
LAS胃

Hiss cook indieates that ohe felt there were other informants in the group．Wis you evex alscusa other informante with her？

On one occasion an Lntivisual ease to paffalo frow another part of tew York state Who was suspected of being an informant．
 however Mary do cook told them they should talie no action，but rather ghould check with VVA wembers in his home area to detaraine if he an informant． I believe at the tine it wain neceseary． Tor me tock her about thes citwation imadiately fofer it happened and she therefore suspected we had othar informants in the organization．

Did you indicate to hex that if she were to quit you would put othor informants in the oxganization who would possibly not be as truthful about the vVan as he was？

Yes．I Delieva I did indicate this to her．


Becane she Indieated that ahe was having nithtmares and uffering actual physical afflictions due to her foare of being ditcovered as an informant．

She has inalicated that she has had long political ibeussionn vith you where you dienswen with her on political isぁus．Is this corract？

Yes，this is correct．
What proxpted thera alseussions？
She indicated on wany occasions that as a senbar of the VVAT she was only hearing political peropective from the fax left．She akked that I present her ith an alternative parspective which I attempted to do．I attempted to point out that there are two sides

## LAEK

(Cont.)

FOSTAL

LAS睤
POSTAL

LASH

POSZAL

LABL

POSPAL

LAS䗑
POETAL
LASH
to every quastion. Fow emamplo, I recall on one ocuasion she sas told by the VVAT that Bathlehen 3teel in the Huffalo area hed perinited a vorkey to die rather than shut domm a blast furnace after a worker had fiallen cown into the furmace area. I chncket on this and tola her that the true stary Was that the worker had had a fatal heart attack bafore falling into the tangewous axea and that in mediately fifex his fall everything was shut down for his rescue.

Did she indicate to you that she was especially concerned bout the atrocities at Attica Prison?

Yes, she dic.
Dia you ever indicate to her that you talked to soxeone who had been there and anic thare rear no atrocities?

I indicatod to hew that I had talked to a physician who hati been there after the mebellion had been pat cown wo had told nee that the indivicuals he treated hac been injurad during the period the prison wass in the hands of the retellious innotes and not tuping the suppression of the wiot.

Did she evex discuse political parties wit you?

The only thing $I$ can recall iz her telling xe that at some tise in the future the FVAC will berassmoots socialist party in the United states.

Did she zention an individual nawed Martin Solestwy (Fir)?

Bo you man liortin sostre?
Who is he?
ie is a prison ineate I belleve in Aburn emison.

```
postal
```

IASn
POSTAL
LASE
POSTAL
Lase
postal

LaSt

Is thare a davtin sontre Defonse comittee? 1 believe so.

Did she ever give you any information about the Martin sosere befonse comaittee? No

Wen she wanted to quit die you try to keep her as an informant?

On eqvoral occablons I convinced here that she ehould remain in Informant but at the time of our last contact I felt that ohe had truly made tip her wines and I made no further attempt to convince har to rexidin an informant.

Dis it botmer you that she was mporting to you on the pelitisal activities of these individuels?

Is it sureau pellicy that fuforwanter report on political activity?
I Aon't foel that I can answar either of these question within the coope of the inquiry.

# APPENDIX <br> VIETNAM VETERANS AGAINST THE WAR/ WINTER SOLDIER ORGANIZATION 

The Vietnam Veterans Against the War, formed in 1967 by Vietnam veterans to protest United States involvement in the war in Southeast Asia (changed name to Vietnam Veterans Against the War/Winter Soldier Organization (VVAW/ WSO) in 1973 to include non-veterans as members), has sponsored numerous anti-government demonstrations, some resulting in violence. The VVAW/WSO National Office (NO) and some key chapters are infiltrated and influenced by the militant Revolutionary Union (RU) organization, and VVAW/WSO leaders have told members that VVAW/WSO is a revolutionary organization, not "just another group of war veterans." The current Marxist-Leninisto Maoist oriented NO, which promotes education of the membership in MarxistmLeninistomaoist doctrine and directs the organization into political growth along the same lines, has at VVAN/WSO National Steering Committee Meetings (NSCM), in 1974, portrayed VVAW/WSO as a mass anti-imperialist organization and a vanguard of the revolution eventually created by the masses.

VVAW/WSO leaders voted at the December, 1974 NSCM to align VVAW/WSO with the RU, which organization follows a strict Maoist line designed to bring about violent revolution in the United States.

## APPENDIX

## REVOLUTIONARY UNION

The Revolutionary Union (RU), founded in early 1968 in the. San Francisco Bay area, is a militant semicovert Marxist-Leninist revolutionary organization ideologically oriented towards the People's Republic of China and the teachings of Chairman MAO Tse-tung. Its objectives as set forth in its theoretical publication, "The Red Papers," and in its monthly newspaper, "Revolution," are the development of a united front against imperialism, the fostering of revolutionary working class unity and leadership in struggle, and the formation of a communist party based on Marxism-Leninism-MAO Tse-tung thought, leading to the overthrow of the United States Government by force and violence. Members of the RU have been identified as collecting weapons while engaging in firearms and guerrilla warfare training. As of July, 1974, RU national headquarters was located in Maywood, Illinois.

## APPENDIX

FROM: N


Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and two copies of an LHM reflecting generally the circumstances and content of testimony furnished by SA NEIL P. SHANAHAN to the staff of the above styled Senate Select Committee on 11/21/75.

For the information of ".the Bureau, this

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
November 26, 1975
UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT
COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL
OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO
INTEYITGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)
RE: GARY THOMAS ROWE

At 11:00 a.m., November 21, 1975, Special Agent NEIL P. SHANAHAN of the Philadelphia Division of the FBI was interviewed under oath by two staff members of the above styled committee in the Old Senate Office Building in Washington, D.C. Present during the interview and doing most of the questioning was Mr. ROBERT KELIY, and Mr. JOHN BAIIEY, who identified themselves as staff members of the Senate Select Committee (SSC).

Prior to any questioning, it was explained by Mr. ROBERT KELIY that SA SHANAHAN would be testifying voluntarily and that he had a right to be represented by counsel if he so desired. Also prior to any questioning, Mr. KELLY explained that he had previously interviewed GARY THOMAS ROWE, a former confidential informant for the FBI and would be asking questions prompted by information Eurnished to him by Mr. ROWE.

After responding to questions of a general informative nature, such as when SA SHANAHAN handled Mr. ROWE, and the general dates of Mr. SHANAHAN's employment and assignments with the FBI, certain specific areas were covered and recalled by SA SHANAHAN as follows:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMTTYEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INIELIIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

A question was asked concerning activities taken by SA SHANAHAN upon receipt of information from Mr. ROWE that the Ku Klux Klan was going to immediately engage in some form of violent activity. SA SHANAHAN responded that upon receipt of such information, it was immediately reported to his superiors, and evaluated to determine what immediate action, such as dissemination to local authorities, etc., was required. A question was asked in this area directly on the issue of whether anyone in the FBI instructed Mr. ROWE to engage in any violent activities. Mr. SHANAHAN responded that at no time did he, or anyone else to his knowledge, instruct Mr. ROWE to engage in any violent activity. In fact, he was often instructed to avoid engaging in violent activity.

At one point in the interview, Mr. JOHN BAIJEY asked questions concerning whether SA SHANAHAN could express his opinion as to the morality or ethics involved in certain activities which Mr. ROWE had reported, that he had engaged in. This question was re-phrased several times by Mr. BAILEY because of SA SHANAFIAN's repeated statements that he did not understand the extent of the information sought by Mr. BAIIEY.

Another critical area of questioning dealt with a statement made by Mr. ROWE that at some time during the trials of three members of the Ku Klux Klan, for killing Mrs. VIOLA IIUZZO, he had advised Mr. JOHN DOAR, Assistant Attorney General, that they had been introducing testimony from one Mr. LEROY MOTON, who was identifying himself under oath as the man present in the automobile with Mrs. IIUZZO when she was shot. Mr. ROWE had advised Mr. DOAR that Mr. MOMON was not the same man who was in the auto with Krs. LIUZzo when she was shot. In response

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIG HNCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)
to these questions, Mr. SHANAHAN testified that Mr. ROWE had at some point during these trial proceedings, seen either in person or a photograph in the newspaper of Mr. LEROY MOTON, and had advised Mr. SHANAHAN that this man was not the same man he had seen riding in the car with Mrs. LIUZZO. At the next opportunity, Mr. SHANAHAN contacted Mr. JOHN DOAR and had Mr. ROWE relate to Mr. DOAR his opinion concerning the identity of LEROY MOTON as the man present in the car with LIUZZO. Mr, SHANAHAN further testified that he did not know what, if any, impression this had on Mr. DOAR and the further content of the trial.

At a point the interview appeared to be over and Mr. KELIY and Mr. SHANAHAN conversed off the record, during which conversation Mr. KELIY related that Mr, ROWE had currently had some difficulties with Mr. DOAR and the Department of Justice after the FBI had relocated him in another part of the country and that some of the statements being made by Mr. ROWE concerned his treatment by the Department of Justice after he was no longer under FBI control. Mr. SHANAHAN mentioned that he recalled that GARY THOMAS ROWE received a written agreement signed by Attorney General NICHOLAS D. KAIZENBACH, which outlined what agreement would exist between Mr. ROWE and the Department of Justice. Mr. KELLY asked Mr. SHANAHAN to go back on the record and relate this under oath, which was done. Mr. SHANAHAN testified only that he had been present duxing negotiations between Mr. ROWE and Mr. DOAR about this agreement and had seen the agreement furnished to Mr, ROWE prior to Mr. ROWE's testimony during the Federal trial in Montgomery, Alabama.

The attached correspond re was forwarded to the Director by the Detriment of Justice. UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Edward H. Levi
Attorney General

Testimony regarding the FBI.

As you know, both the Sent and House of Representatives Select Committees on Intelligence Activities are hearing publicctestimony concerning the FBI and involving some matters which were previously investigated by the Criminal Division. Although the Criminal Division may be doing this already, I would appreciate it if you would have this testimony reviewed to determine whether any investigation should be reopened or any new investigations initiated.

I am particularly concerned about the recent allegations regarding FBI activity directed against Martin Luther King because $I$ am advised that some or all of them were not included in the Department's investigation of "Cointellpro." I would like both of your Divisions to participate actively in this review and any reopened or new investigation.

Please keep me advised of any allegations or information in the testimony which might provide a basis for reopening or initiating an investigation.
cc. Director, FBI

Deputy Attorney General Michael Shaken
ricc-51
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